DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE OTTAWA, CANADA

MINISTER Hon. J. A. ROBB DEPUTY MINISTER F. C. T. O'HARA

THIRTY-FIRST ANNUAL REPORT

OF THE

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE

FOR THE

FISCAL YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1923

PRINTED BY ORDER OF PARLIAMENT



F. A. ACLAND
PRINTER TO THE KING'S MOST EXCELLENT MAJESTY
1923

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AID

FISCAL YEAR ENDING MARCH 31, 1928



To General His Excellency the Right Honourable Lord Byng of Vimy, G.C.B., G.C.M.G., M.V.O., Governor General and Commander-in-Chief of the Dominion of Canada.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,-

The undersigned has the nonour to present to Your Excellency the Report of the Department of Trade and Commerce for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1923.

The state of the second of

All of which is respectfully submitted.

J. A. ROBB,
Minister of Trade and Commerce.

DEPARTMENT OF TRADE AND COMMERCE, OTTAWA, June 22, 1923. To General His Execulency the Right Honourable Lard Bying of Vinny, G.C.B.,
G.C.M.G., M.V.G., Gaestraar General and Commander-in-Chief of the
Diminion of Canada.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCERNISHOY,-

The undersigned has the noneur to present to Your Excellency the Report of the Department of Trade and Commerce for the fiscal year anded March 31, 1923.

All of which is respectfully sulmolited.

J. A. ROBB, Minister of Trade and Commerce.

DESCRIPTION CONTRACTOR ASSESSMENTS

Report of the Deputy Minister

OTTAWA, June 19, 1923.

The Hon. J. A. Robb, M.P., Minister of Trade and Commerce, Ottawa.

Sir,—I have the honour to present herewith the Thirty-first Annual Report of the Department of Trade and Commerce, that is to say, for the fiscal year ended March 31, 1923.

CANADA'S FOREIGN TRADE, 1922-23

The trade of Canada during the fiscal year ended March 31, 1923, shows a marked increase in value as also in volume compared with that for the previous fiscal year, 1922. The total value of the trade of Canada (imports for consumption and Canadian exports combined) for 1923 was \$1,733,916,486, as compared with a trade in 1922 of \$1,488,045,012, an increase during the year of \$245,871,474, or 16.5 per cent. The exports show a much larger increase than the imports, the increase in imports amounting to \$54,660,711, or 7.3 per cent, while the increase in exports amounted to \$191,210,763, or 25.8 per cent. The total value of the imports for the fiscal year 1923 was \$802,465,043 and for 1922 \$747,804,332, while the exports of Canadian produce in 1923 were valued at \$931,451,443, and in 1922 at \$740,240,680. Compared with 1921 the total trade for 1923 shows a decrease of \$695,406,097, the decrease in

imports amounting to \$437,693,839 and in exports to \$257,712,258.

The total increase in the imports of \$54,660,711 in 1923 compared with similar imports in 1922 was chiefly due to increases in the imports of fibres and textiles of \$30,149,821; iron and its products, \$28,513,916; non-ferrous metals, \$7,719,191; non-metallic minerals, \$2,314,872; and chemical and allied products, \$1,162,768. The increases in these classes of commodities were counterbalanced by decreases in the imports of agricultural and vegetable products of \$10,995,739 and of miscellaneous commodities of \$4,349,160. There was practically no increase or decrease in the imports of animals and animal products and of wood and paper. With reference to the increase in the exports of Canadian produce amounting to \$191,210,763 during the same period, the increase in the exports of agricultural and vegetable products was \$90,181,129; fibres and textiles, \$3,264,856; wood and paper, \$48,830,318; iron and its products, \$22,825,640; non-ferrous metals, \$16,472,041; non-metallic minerals, \$5,030,020; and chemicals and allied products, \$4,540,770. The exports of animals and animal products and of miscellaneous commodities only show small increases.

Trade with the United Kingdom

The trade of Canada with the United Kingdom during the year ended March 31, 1923, amounted to \$520,355,116; imports amounting to \$141,287,671 and exports to \$379,067,445. During 1922 the total trade was valued at \$416,-497,018, the imports accounting for \$117,135,343 of this amount and exports for \$299,361,675. The increase in the total trade from 1922 to 1923 was \$103,-858,098; in imports \$24,152,338 and in exports \$79,705,760. The imports of fibres and textiles show an increase during this period of \$18,447,257, iron and its products an increase of \$3,685,530, and non-metallic minerals an increase of

ACRT A

\$6,183,865, while the imports of miscellaneous commodities show a decrease of \$4,451,852. From 1922 to 1923 the exports from Canada to the United Kingdom show an increase of \$79,705,760. The increase in the exports of agricultural and vegetable products accounted for \$72,629,497 of this amount, the increase in wood and paper for \$4,170,073, iron and its products for \$6,797,739, and non-ferrous metals for \$2,109,456. The exports of animals and animal products and non-metallic minerals show decreases, the former a decrease of \$5,740,702, and the latter a decrease of \$2,524,753.

Trade with the United States

During the year ended March 31, 1923, the trade with the United States shows an increase over the previous fiscal year of \$101,450,811, the increase in imports amounting to \$24,959,236 and in exports to \$76,491,575. In 1923 the total trade with the United States amounted to \$909,997,650, imports accounting for \$540,917,432 of this amount and exports for \$369,080,218, while in 1922 the trade totalled \$808,546,839, imports amounting to \$515,958,196 and exports to \$292,588,643. Compared with the year 1921 the imports from the United States show a decline in value of \$315,259,388 and exports a decline of \$173,-242,749. From 1922 to 1923 the imports from the United States of agricultural and vegetable products show a decrease of \$11,753,658, and non-metallic minerals, \$3,574,793, while the imports of fibres and textiles show an increase of \$9,666,529, iron and its products \$24,433,650 and non-ferrous metals \$6,448,142. During the same period the exports to the United States under each of the main groups show increases except under the heading of agricultural and vegetable products. The decrease under this heading amounted to \$5,695,336. The increases under the other headings were: animals and animal products, \$6,833,811; fibres and textiles \$2,466,133; wood and paper, \$43,297,389; iron and its products, \$4,716,245; non-ferrous metals, \$13,202,439; non-metallic minerals, \$8,212,656; chemicals and allied products, \$2,014,407; and miscellaneous commodities, \$1,473,831. The effect of the emergency tariff and the Fordney-McComber tariff on exports of Canadian farm products to the United States is reflected in the enormous decline in the exports of these products in 1923 compared with similar exports in 1921. The decrease in the exports of agricultural and vegetable products amounted to \$104,648,014 and of animals and animal products to \$20,525,880.

Values Only Misleading

On the whole the trade expansion is very satisfactory and indicates a revival of commerce and industry. Though the trade during the fiscal year 1923 is much in excess of that for the year 1922, it is millions of dollars less than for the fiscal year 1921, when peak prices prevailed. Notwithstanding the fact that the trade figures for 1923 compared with those in 1921 show a tremendous decline in value, they are generally misinterpreted, due to failure to comprehend that the conditions existing in 1921 were abnormal in the extreme, especially as to prices upon which the valuation statistics are based.

Last year I had occasion to point out that valuation statistics are apt to be misleading. Consequently in considering the foregoing trade figures, it must be remembered that for some years import and export statistics when expressed in values only have not conveyed an accurate indication of the fluctuations in a country's foreign trade. The decline of the trade in 1923 compared with 1921 was a price decline, as a study of the volume of Canadian trade indicates that there was no decline in the total quantity of merchandise imported and exported. Comparisons should be made, therefore, in the quantities of commodities imported and exported. Compare the trade of Canada for 1923 with a normal pre-war year—e.g. 1914—and it will be found that the

interchange of merchandise has increased about 70 per cent, and if one refers to the fiscal year 1909, the increase is about 240 per cent. The increase in exports is considerably in excess of the increase in imports.

Trade of Canada

275.55			21		1				Helmorosub
1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 100		100 mm	0.00 (SE 0.00 (SE 0.00 (SE 0.00 (SE)	1181	18, 979 200 200 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100		Imports for Consumption	Exports of Canadian Produce	Total Trade
1908-09 1913-14 1922-23	4					 	\$ 288,594,196 619,193,998 802,465,043	431,588,439	\$ 531,197,780 1,050,782,437 1,733,916,486

A fluctuation in the imports and exports over a certain period may be due to two causes:

(a) an increase or decrease in the quantities of merchandise imported or exported, or

(b) an increase or decrease in the prices at which the merchandise was

imported or exported.

It is essential, therefore, in analysing the trend of general trade not to rely wholly upon the values, as they do not reveal the true increase or decrease of the trade of a country. True trade statistics are based on quantities; values are of a secondary importance. No complete analysis can be made respecting the increase or decrease of Canadian trade based on quantities, as that would involve the collection and compilation of a very large volume of details of imports and exports, which would be very costly. It would appear, however, from an analysis of some of the leading commodities imported and exported that the volume of the trade of Canada, both import and export, during the fiscal year 1923, is considerably in excess of that for any previous fiscal year.

The following comparison of imports into and exports from Canada of certain leading commodities in 1923 with those in 1921 substantiates this:—

Imports into Canada for Consumption

			Cumu Loop IV
Date mint of and assemble brokestrogen in between	1921	1923	Increase (+) Decrease (-)
THE STREET OF THE STREET, TO STOLE OF THE STREET, TO	THE STREET	In sbon bit	r retard fortax
Binder twine Lb.	34,755,071	49,990,387	+ 44%
\$	5,480,897		- 5%
CornBush.	9,977,764		
S	12,621,410		
Cotton, raw Lb.	98,631,504		
Cottons, dyed	28,541,989		
•	52,530,220 21,052,640		
Cottons, grey Yd.	11,500,490	20 20 10 2 22 22 22 22	+ 17%
\$	2,948,302		- / /
Glass, common window		and the first of t	+ 5%
S	2,872,151	1,205,639	- 58%
Jute cloth or canvas	63,406,570	2 5 7 7 8 0 W	
Petroleum, crude	7,005,189		- 15%
Petroleum, crude	311,719,057		
Raisins Lb.	22,652,012 $24,979,194$		- 10% + 28%
S	5, 482, 589	THE RESERVE AND THE PARTY OF TH	3307
Tea Lb.	33, 422, 902	A DESCRIPTION OF THE PARTY OF T	
8	9,668,785	The state of the s	
Sugar, raw Lb.		1,143,455,567	+ 64%
- S	76, 556, 225	The state of the s	- 53%
Wool, raw Lb.	9,285,663	18, 273, 344	+ 98%
Wool cooles	5,088,665		+ 71%
Wool socks	354,965 2,864,938	2,786,031	7 200
Worsteds and serges Yd.	6,453,434		+ 2007
\$	17,097,360	The second secon	- 320%
			70

Exports of Canadian Commodities

	1921	1923	Increas	
Automobiles	19,910	48,828	+	145%
D \$	13,979,121	27,050,899		94%
Bacon and hams Cwt.	982,338			3%
BarleyBush.	31,492,407 8,563,553	22,536,397 14,584,005		28% 70%
S	11,469,050			20%
Butter Lb.	9,739,414	21,994,578		126%
CHT. THE THE ROD CHE WILL MAN CON	5, 128, 831	8, 243, 138		61%
Cattle over 1 yr. old	223,689			2%
0.101.1:1	19,989,370			56%
Codfish, dried Cwt.	582,084			20%
Lobsters, canned	5,988,518 66,585			13%
Lousters, Camieu Cwt.	5, 179, 569			15%
OatsBush.	14, 321, 048			103%
S	14, 152, 033		40	3%
Planks and boards M.ft.	1,604,463			37%
S	71,079,295	64,010,422		10%
Printing paper Cwt.	15, 112, 586			33%
D . S	78, 922, 137			8%
RyeBush.	3, 201, 430			217%
Salmon, canned	6,231,170 $308,578$			31%
Samued Cwt.	7,580,977			4%
Seeds, cloverBush.	179, 255			70%
VICE THE STATE OF STA	2,005,460			
Sole leather Lb.	1,391,510			191%
\$	870, 183	1,343,830	+	54%
Soap Lb.	992,374			121%
8	143,627	The second secon		109%
Sugar, refined Lb.	65,706,539			345%
Vorotables conned I b	11,837,930			67%
Vegetables, cannedLb.	4,779,127			133% 106%
WheatBush.	129, 215, 157			66%
\$	310, 952, 138			19%
Wheat flour Brl.	6,107,032			67%
\$	66, 520, 490			10.%
Wool, raw Lb.	7,288,373			19%
W11-	2,168,256			9%
Wood pulp Cwt.	14, 363, 006			18%
\$	71,552,037	42,986,948	_	40%

With the possible exception of coal in imports and cheese, hay, iron and steel bars and rods, and nickel in exports an examination of nearly every other commodity imported and exported during the years 1921 and 1923 will indicate that the decline in Canadian trade during this interval was a price, and not a quantity, decline.

Favourable Trade Balance

During the year under review, it is gratifying to note that the trade balance was favourable to Canada. During the year ended March 31, 1923, it amounted to \$142,830,794, as compared with a favourable balance in 1922 of \$6,122,677, and an unfavourable balance in 1921 of \$29,730,763, and for the pre-war year 1914 of \$163,756,774. From 1916 to 1920 Canada's exports exceeded her imports each year by a very large amount, due principally to abnormal conditions which existed during the war period, and the reconstruction period following the termination of hostilities. During these years the trade balances favourable to Canada were:—

1916\$	271,098,936
1917	332,760,222
1918	622, 637, 214
1919	349,053,580
1920	222, 130, 586

Prior to 1916 the trade balance was unfavourable to Canada for a number of years. From 1904 to 1914 the unfavourable trade balances were as under:—

1904	.\$ 32,853,737
1905	
1906	
1907	
1908	
1909	
1910	
1911	
1912	
1913	
1914	. 163,756,774

Expansion of Canada's Export Trade

The expansion of Canada's export trade during the last twenty years of the nineteenth century, viz., 1880 to 1900, amounted to \$96,072,604, or about 132 per cent, while for the twenty-three years of the present century, viz., 1900 to 1923, it amounted to \$762,479,142, or about 451 per cent. The exports of Canadian produce with portions exported to the British Empire and foreign countries for the fiscal years 1880, 1890, 1900, 1910, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923 were:—

Fiscal Years	Total Exports	To British Empire	To Foreign Countries
	S	S	S
1880	72,899,697	38,966,386	33,933,311
1890	85, 257, 586	44,669,523	40,588,06
1900	168,972,301	103, 462, 544	65,509,75
1910	279, 247, 551	154, 937, 457	124, 310, 09.
1914	431,588,439	238, 642, 517	192, 945, 92
1920	1,239,492,098	561,791,887	677,700,21
1921	1, 189, 163, 701	403, 452, 219	785,711,48
1922	740, 240, 680	345,835,410	394, 405, 27
1923	931, 451, 443	439,625,892	491,825,55

Trade Expansion of Principal Countries, 1913 to 1922

Canada has vastly improved her position among the principal exporting countries of the world since 1913. In 1913 Canada occupied tenth place as an exporting country, but by 1922 she had advanced to fifth place, being surpassed by the United States, the United Kingdom, France, and Germany. The following table showing the exports in 1913 and 1922 of ten of the principal exporting countries arranged in order of importance substantiates this:—

Calendar Year 1913	manhanti 21 1	Calendar Year 1922		
Countries	\$	Countries	\$	
With the second	2,448,284,000 $2,402,967,000$ $1,327,882,000$ $1,239,368,000$ $781,947,000$ $701,475,000$ $484,746,000$ $465,582,000$	United States France Germany United Kingdom Canada British India Japan Australia Netherlands Belgium	1,713,285,000 944,859,000 3,278,259,000 884,363,000 821,940,000 777,561,000 548,894,000 477,623,000	

With respect to the principal importing countries, Canada in 1922 occupied exactly the same place as in 1913, viz., eighth place. The following table show-

ing the imports of the eight principal importing countries in 1913 and in 1922, arranged in order of importance, demonstrates this:-

Calendar Year 1913		Calendar Year 1922		
Countries	\$	Countries	\$	
United Kingdom Germany United States France Netherlands Belgium Italy Canada	2,563,331,000 1,756,863,000 1,625,317,000 1,575,036,000 894,865,000 703,608,000	United Kingdom United States France Germany Japan Netherlands Italy Canada	3,045,809 000 1,983,750,000 1,475,695,000 897,316,000 792,593,000 765,893,000	

From 1913 to 1922 Canada stood second in respect to percentage of increase in exports. Japan occupied first place with an increase in her export trade of 147 per cent and Canada occupied second place with an increase of 103 per cent. In trade per capita Canada stood in third position among the principal exporting countries. In 1922 the exports per capita of New Zealand were \$153.10, Australia \$100.96, and Canada \$100.63. The following table giving the exports per capita for certain countries in 1913 and 1922, arranged in order of importance, demonstrates that Canada has moved from seventh to third place from 1913 to 1922.

Calendar Year 1913		Calendar Year 1922		
Countries	\$	Countries	\$	
Netherlands	201 71	New Zealand	153 10	
New Zealand	97 01	Australia	100 90	
Belgium	92 55	Canada	100 63	
Australia	74 78	Switzerland	87 4	
Switzerland	70 25	Denmark	76 40	
Denmark	61 55	Argentina	74 58	
Canada	57 95	Netherlands	69 82	
United Kingdom	55 52	United Kingdom	69 30	
Argentina	53 61	Belgium	63 03	
Sweden	39 05	Sweden	52 31	
Germany	36 22	France	43 70	
France	33 53	Union of South Africa	38 1;	
United States		United States	34 3	

The following tables, supplied by Mr. W. A. Warne, Chief, External Trade Statistics Branch, give summaries of the trade of Canada, and comparison of the trade of the principal countries of the world:-

1. Trade of Canada by Main Groups, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923. 2. Trade of Canada with the United Kingdom by Main Groups, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923.

3. Trade of Canada with the United States by Main Groups, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923.

4. Trade of Canada by Principal Countries, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923.

5. Canadian Exports to Principal Countries, 1880, 1890, 1900, 1910, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922, and 1923.

6. Comparison of the Trade of the Principal Countries of the World, 1913 and 1922.

1. Trade of Canada by Main Groups

	Years ended March 31						
Main Groups	1914	1920	1921	1922	1923		
Imports for Consumption	\$	S	S	\$	8		
Agricultural and vegetable Products. Animals and animal products	97,617,642 41,092,915		259,431,110 61,722,390	172,665,523 46,645,789	161,669,784 46,736,774		
Fibres, textiles and textile products. Wood, wood products and	109, 153, 861	231,559,877	243,608,342	139,997,137	170, 146, 958		
Paper Iron and its products Non-Ferrous Metal products Non-Metallic mineral products Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities	37,397,394 143,864,735 35,574,404 85,288,957 17,072,924 52,131,166	186,319,876 52,176,434 121,956,176 30,042,823	55,651,319 206,095,113 37,887,449	35,791,487 110,210,539 29,773,413 137,604,140 24,630,333 50,485,971	35,845,544 138,724,455 37,492,604 139,919,012 25,793,101 46,136,811		
Total Imports	619, 193, 998	1,064,528,123	1,240,158,882	747,804,332	802,465,043		
Dutiable Imports Free Imports	410, 258, 744 208, 935, 254	693, 655, 165 370, 872, 958		495,626,323 ¹ 252,178,009	537, 214, 581 265, 250, 462		
Duty collected on Imports	107,180,578	187,524,182	179,667,683	121,487,394	133,791,514		
Exports (Canadian)							
Agricultural and Vegetable products	201,189,775			317,578,963 135,798,720	407,760,092 135,841,642		
Wood, wood products and	1,933,513	34,028,314	18,783,884	4,585,987	7,850,843		
Iron and its products Non-Ferrous Metal products Non-Metallic Mineral products Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities	53,304,267 9,263,643	81,785,829 54,976,413 30,342,926 22,883,685	45,939,377 40,121,892	179, 925, 887 28, 312, 272 27, 885, 966 22, 616, 684 9, 506, 170 14, 030, 001	228,756,205 51,137,912 44,358,037 27,646,704 14,046,940 14,053,068		
Total exports (Canadian).	431,588,439	1,239,492,098	1,189,163,701	740,240,680	931,451,443		
Exports (Foreign)	1						
Agricultural and Vegetable products. Animals and Animal products Fibres, Textiles and Textile	13,075,791 1,560,400	6,421,943 6,565,660		2,231,217 1,434,161	3.150,075		
Wood, wood products and	426,609			1,105,798	1,421,780		
Iron and its products Non-Ferrous Metal products Non-Metallic Mineral products Chemicals and Allied products	249,485 234,848	2,597,839 \$42,930 3,556,274	8,582,412 846,500 888,775 1,111,680	378,344 3,400,751 822,034 772,058 427,338	409,011 3,235,261 617,461 670,930 196,864		
Miscellaneous commodities	3,950,587			3,114,628	2,458,511		
Total Exports (Foreign) Total Exports	23,848,785 455,437,224		21,264,418 1,210,428,119	753, 927, 009	945, 295, 837		
Excess imports over exports	163,756,774		29,730,763		020,200,001		
Excess exports over imports		222,130,586		6,122,677	142,830,794		

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

2. Trade of Canada with the United Kingdom by Main Groups

		Year	s ended March	31	
Main Groups	1914	1920	1921	1922	1923
Imports for Consumption	\$	\$	\$	\$	S
Agricultural and Vegetable	10.000.070	45 004 500	00 504 000	25 050 425	00 000 000
Animals and animal products Fibres, Textile and Textile	16,202,873 5,737,729	17,004,533 3,789,311	38,724,082 5,148,783	27,950,425 3,092,895	26,666,163 3,143,223
Wood, wood products and	60,577,216	74,653,042	111,348,051	50,892,567	69,339,824
Iron and its products Non-Ferrous Metal products	3,704,340 17,262,813 4,800,589	1,515,780 6,637,067 3,339,207	3,144,574 16,698,085 6,682,748	2,657,542 8,985,903 2,523,868	2,708,338 12,671,433 3,595,638
Non-Metallic Mineral products Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities	6,283,304 4,276,936 13,224,606	6,945,566 4,154,345 8,323,780	9,118,403 6,046,972 17,061,864	6,324,790 3,237,117 11,470,236	12,508,655 3,636,013 7,018,384
Total imports	132,070,406	126, 362, 631	213,973,562	117,135,343	141,287,671
Dutiable imports	102, 375, 867 29, 694, 539	93,244,969 33,117,662	170,135,906 43,837,656	95,144,553 21,990,790	116, 119, 966 25, 167, 705
Exports (Canadian)					
Agricultural and Vegetable products. Animals and animal products	146,777,482 35,419,016	249,409,394 138,885,994	141,169,556 91,291,301	196, 199, 365 70, 368, 963	268, 828, 862 64, 628, 261
Fibres, Textiles and Textile products. Wood, wood products and	234,364	3,851,357	2,643,202	1,020,612	1,077,976
Iron and its products. Non-Ferrous Metal products. Non-Metallic Mineral products Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities		42,026,282 15,874,157 9,260,569 3,121,157 3,894,732 22,828,995	36,761,384 $17,653,826$ $9,873,516$ $3,127,338$ $3,399,815$ $6,924,933$	1,062,757	19,834,368 11,556,627 8,107,032 728,674 1,984,441 2,321,204
Total exports (Canadian)	215, 253, 969	489, 152, 637	312,844,871	299,361,675	379,067,445
Exports (Foreign) Agricultural and Vegetable products. Animals and animal products	5,747,652 141,914	614,583 3,411,582	33,737 56,437	67,283 95,609	22,609 45,484
Fibres, Textiles and Textile products.	142,070	416,411	742,410	263,852	255, 167
Wood, wood products and paper	590,698 127,197 36,925	200, 205 972, 511 106, 685	132,242 245,744 14,221		52, 222 206, 503 46, 043
Non-Metallic Mineral products Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities	2,144	48,300 87,738 949,466	16.655 22,317 120,037		37,565 22,975 162,513
Total exports (Foreign)	7,068,323	6,807,481	1,383,800	1,001,518	851,081
Total exports	222, 322, 292	495,960,118	314,228,671	300, 363, 193	379,918,526
Excess imports over exports Excess exports over imports	90,251,886	369,597,487	100,255,109	183,227,850	238, 630, 855

3. Trade of Canada with the United States by Main Groups

Main Channe		Year	s ended March	31	
Main Groups	1914	1920	1921	1922	1923
Imports for Consumption	\$	S	\$	\$	\$
Agricultural and Vegetable					
Animals and animal products Fibres, Textile and Textile	44, 109, 596 23, 295, 875	142, 294, 388 77, 010, 313	119,614,933 42,911,179	84,803,204 36,110,305	73,049,546 34,812,367
products	32, 535, 525	132, 292, 083	101,738,045	67,619,469	77,285,998
Iron and its products	31,723,052 $121,342,038$	40,719,024 178,661,606	52,359,847 226,855,725	31,423,889 99,938,235	31,841,957 $124,371,888$
Non-Ferrous Metal products.	27,732,909	46,940,714	45,959,914	25,343,095	31,791,23
Non-Metallic Mineral products	74,170,853	108,525,324	188, 459, 045	118, 216, 653	114,641,860
Chemicals and Allied products Miscellaneous commodities	9,568,529 31,823,761	23,997,657 50,656,209	28, 128, 104 50, 150, 028	18,143,315 34,360,031	18,347,543 34,775,037
Total imports	396, 302, 138	801,097,318	856, 176, 820	515,958,196	540,917,432
Dutiable imports Free imports	249,482,610 146,819,528	499,716,625 301,380,693	544,010,980 312,165,840	312,093,534 203,864,662	332, 262, 722 208, 654, 710
Exports (Canadian)					
Agricultural and Vegetable	04.005.000		4.4.5 50.0 00.0		
Animals and animal products Fibres, Textiles and Textile	34,095,266 32,320,872	55,735,692 130,997,017	146,539,883 75,751,046	47,587,209 48,391,355	41,891,873 55,225,166
Wood, wood products and	1,201,699	12,472,456	7,122,882	1,996,634	4,432,767
paper	45, 186, 230	153,686,140	216,011,556	148,065,672	191,363,063
Iron and its products	2,044,031	25,717,121	19,630,413	4,693,020	9,409,26
Non-Ferrous Metal products Non-Metallic Mineral products	34,224,094 $7,156,496$	37,545,943 $17,488,266$	30,029,799 $22,270,447$	14,687,260 12,605,032	27,889,699 20,817,688
Chemicals and Allied products	3,168,518	13,803,067	12, 236, 087	5,937,136	7,951,543
Miscellaneous commodities	3,975,619	16,582,481	12,730,854	8,625,325	10,099,156
Total exports (Canadian)	163,372,825	464,028,183	542,322,967	292,588,643	369,080,218
Exports (Foreign)					
Agricultural and Vegetable	1 010 050	4 000 047	1 400 005	* 000 000	
Animals and animal products	[4,646,950] $[1,282,640]$	4.668,647 $2.867,271$	1,409,327 1,292,437	1,680,383 1,287,697	2,709,751 $1,543,956$
Fibres, Textiles and Textile		2,001,211	1,200, 191	1,201,001	1,010,000
Wood, wood products and	240,155	3, 193, 420	1,654,561	701,785	870,178
paper	301,100	303,141	394,128	333,389	348, 430
Iron and its products	2,729,014	16,445,709	8,228,079	3,121,239	2,912,806
Non-Ferrous Metal products Non-Metallic Mineral products	452, 125 177, 308	2,472,679 589,137	815,210 $664,194$	759,462 $555,299$	553,867 $366,838$
Chemicals and Allied products	215,663	3,274,170	1,047,216	330, 925	158, 683
Miscellaneous commodities	3,530,519	3,287,760	2,873,817	2,745,355	1,802,994
Total exports (Foreign)	13,575,474	37,101,934	18,378,969	11,515,534	11,267,503
Total exports	176,948,299	501,130,117	560,701,936	304, 104, 177	380,347,721
Excess imports over exports Excess exports over imports	219,353,839	299,967,201	295,474,884	211,854,019	160,569,711

4. Trade of Canada by Principal Countries

		Year	rs ended March	31	
	1914	1920	1921	1922	1923
Imports for Consumption	\$	\$	\$	S	\$
'rom— United Kingdom	132, 070, 406	126, 362, 631	213, 973, 562	117, 135, 343	141, 287, 671
Australia	713, 111	1,371,775	791,980	1,079,324	1,457,921
Bermuda	7,539	55, 604	76,959	99,886	94,799
British East Indies	7,218,987 $3,179,112$	16, 236, 412 7, 412, 931	14,307,404 $9,085,108$	8,937,388 6,166,664	12,382,661 $5,669,471$
British South Africa	477, 823	735, 948	146.798	127,738	185, 107
British West Africa	29,118	174, 928	104,719	19,202	219,814
British West Indies	4,347,310	12, 114, 790	14,833,746	8, 113, 773	12,424,296
Hong Kong			3,516,760 $2,886,203$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2,109,737 \\ 1,392,026 \end{bmatrix}$	1,878,869 $1,400,896$
New Zealand	3, 192, 900	, ,	4,219,965	1,783,500	1,962,54
Other British Empire	439,996	1,036,790	2,059,484	2,144,672	593,539
Argentine Republic		3,402,554	2,552,831	2,355,100	3,075,934
Belgium	4,490,476		4,693,368	3,845,718	4, 995, 093
China	1,163,785 $913,262$		2,151,066 $1,897.349$	1,495,245 $1,413,527$	1,391,136 $1,460,696$
Cuba	0 000 000			13,042,568	11,209,920
France	14,276,535		19, 138, 062	13,482,005	12, 250, 37
Germany	14,586,223		1,547,685	2,041,016	2,567,01
Greece	445,036 $2,090,387$	-	817, 157 $1,745,330$	1,033,981 1,387,370	467,76 $1,598,47$
Japan	2,604,216			8, 194, 681	7,211,01
Mexico	1,471,182	2,648,915	2, 185, 399	3,798,202	3,850,72
Netherlands	3,015,456			4,002,047	4,958,09
Norway	486,379 $4,556$		616,978	426, 928 688	560,04 $27,52$
Roumania	603, 401			245, 295	485, 52
Switzerland	4,314,805			8,671,608	7,735,53
United States	396, 302, 138			515, 958, 196	540, 917, 43
Other foreign countries	11,343,300	24,464,047	19,592,235	17,300,904	18, 145, 15
Total imports	619, 193, 998	1,064,528,123	1,240,158,882	747,804,332	802, 465, 04
Exports (Canadian)					
United Kingdom	215, 253, 969			299, 361, 675	379,067,44
Australia	4,673,997 $383,151$			10,678,600 $989,113$	18,783,76 $1,078,14$
BermudaBritish East Indies	686, 324			2,341,175	2,864,15
British Guiana	4			2,298,105	2,082,68
British South Africa	3,831,270			3,890,390	5,583,39
British West Africa				9,970,481	9,533,06
British West Indies Hong Kong				1,411,699	1,943,80
Newfoundland		16, 175, 443	16,676,728	9, 317, 639	8,523,26
New Zealand				4, 128, 531	8,286,20
Other British Empire				1,303,224 3,233,423	1,765,00 4,445,0
Argentine Republic				12, 359, 300	12,527,52
Brazil				2,002,449	1,929,0
China	473,074			1,900,627	5, 125, 90
Cuba	0 000 111			3,974,432	5,069,10
Gormans.	1 011 010			8, 208, 228 4, 509, 547	14,118,53 $9,950,83$
Greece					6, 595, 58
Italy	514,660	16, 959, 557	57,758,343	15, 335, 818	12,073,33
Japan					14,510,13
Mexico				1, 197, 597 9, 582, 924	3,291,09 $10,540,08$
Norway				3,913,372	2,216,78
Roumania	69,800	12,953,605	3,801,584	15,383	16, 10
Sweden	177,313			1,220,196	2,574,20
Switzerland					519, 19 369, 080, 21
Other foreign countries	163, 372, 825 5, 170, 694			13, 939, 150	17, 242, 50

SESSIONAL PAPER No. 5

5. Canadian Exports to Principal Countries (Fiscal Years, 1880, 1890, 1900, 1910, 1914, 1920, 1921, 1922 and 1923.)

	Years	rs ended June	30			Years ended	March 31		
	1880	1890	1900	1910	1914	1920	1921	1922	1923
British Empire	49	6/9	6/5	6 €	49		6 / 2	649	649
United Kingdom. Australia. British Africa. British East Indies. British West Indies including Bermuda. Hong Kong. New Zealand. Other British Empire.	35, 208, 031 139, 750 82, 091 9, 015 1, 888, 726 1, 356, 388 15, 903 5, 846	41, 499, 149 471, 028 171, 028 192, 552 192, 398 19, 679 19, 679 5, 603	1, 622, 575 1, 204, 093 1, 204, 093 1, 673, 163 2, 029, 723 26, 395 11, 571	3, 561, 075 2, 319, 159 2, 319, 159 58, 180 581, 631 3, 534, 766 3, 534, 766 3, 806, 962 887, 058 164, 130	215,253,969 4,673,997 3,927,381 686,324 649,675 1,879,261 4,508,090 1,933,698	489, 152, 637 11, 415, 623 9, 825, 526 8, 762, 259 3, 109, 381 1, 343, 867 16, 175, 443 6, 987, 608 6, 987, 608	312, 844, 871 18, 112, 861 15, 556, 593 6, 388, 898 3, 594, 118 14, 554, 217 2, 000, 825 16, 676, 728 11, 873, 000	299, 361, 675 10, 678, 600 4, 203, 371 2, 341, 175 10, 959, 504 1, 411, 699 9, 317, 639 4, 128, 531 1, 135, 021	379, 067, 445 18, 783, 766 5, 883, 862 2, 864, 158 2, 082, 684 1, 943, 808 8, 523, 264 8, 286, 262 1, 579, 426
ands avian countries Total exports Tish Empire	25. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1.	<i>π</i> .	器云至至200000000000000000000000000000000000	2, 867, 785 1, 840, 156 1, 840, 156 2, 194, 118 2, 194, 118 1, 249, 189 1, 376, 807 1, 376, 807 69, 564 69, 564 69, 564 69, 689 1, 429, 639 1, 429, 639 1, 429, 639 1, 429, 639 1, 429, 639 1, 429, 639 1, 457 1, 457 1, 457	2, 134, 522 4, 269, 394 767, 858 3, 632, 414 4, 044, 019 1, 587, 467 1, 430, 426 1, 659, 987 63, 995 63, 995 63, 995 103, 372, 825 103, 372, 825 103, 372, 825 103, 642, 517 103, 642, 517	8, 126, 457 28, 463, 855 2, 703, 488 6, 665, 805 7, 819, 450 61, 108, 693 61, 108, 693 61, 108, 693 10, 959, 557 7, 732, 514 112, 953, 605 1, 953, 953 1, 953 1	8, 171, 980 40, 252, 487 2, 835, 191 4, 906, 570 7, 889, 484 57, 758, 343 6, 414, 920 1, 189, 163, 701 1, 189, 163, 701 403, 452, 219 785, 711, 410, 777 542, 322, 967 15, 646, 260 189, 163, 701 403, 452, 219	3, 233, 423 12, 359, 300 2, 002, 449 1, 900, 627 4, 509, 547 5, 247, 035 14, 831, 520 7, 376, 749 7, 376, 749 8, 16, 924 6, 959, 274 6, 959, 274 8, 208, 228 15, 383 15, 383 6, 959, 274 8, 208, 228 15, 383 8, 208, 228 15, 383 15, 383 8, 208, 228 15, 383 8, 208, 228 15, 383 8, 208, 228 15, 383 8, 208, 228 15, 383 8, 208, 274 16, 959, 274	4, 445, 041 12, 527, 524 1, 929, 067 5, 125, 967 6, 148, 148 14, 118, 577 6, 595, 589 12, 073, 332 14, 510, 133 12, 073, 332 14, 510, 133 12, 073, 332 14, 510, 133 17, 289, 360 7, 289, 360 7, 289, 360 977, 061 519, 196 519, 1431, 443 491, 525, 892
eign countries	3, 933,	40, 588, 068	509, 75	21,310,09	92, 945, 92	12,007,71	85, 711, 48	91,405,27	

6. Comparison of the Trade of the Principal Countries of the World (Years ended December 31, 1913 and 1922)

	13	1922	6 - P	74.55 100.96 63.03 100.96 100.96 110.08 13.72 100.82 13.72 100.83 87.44 887.44 100.36 38.12 887.44 887.44 100.36 38.12
Capita	Expor	1913	6/2	53.61 74.78 92.55 92.55 12.94 13.97 13.97 10.23 10.23 10.23 25.52 25.52
Trade per	rts	1922	69	70.45 98.92 94.42 77.23 77.23 115.83 115.83 115.83 125.88 88.66 95.03 88.66 88.66
	Impo	1913	6 ₽	46.74 118.07 13.07
(+) a (+)	1913	Exports	0/0	++1-1+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Increase	with	Imports	رير (۲۰	++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++ ++
only)	omestie)	1922	66	(a) 648, 480, 000 548, 894, 000 471, 436, 000 308, 767, 000 821, 940, 000 884, 363, 000 252, 286, 000 452, 770, 000 477, 561, 000 187, 005, 000 308, 848, 000 339, 984, 000 339, 984, 000 339, 984, 000 339, 984, 000 339, 984, 000 3, 765, 192, 000
ferchandise	Exports (D	1913	649	465, 582, 000 354, 002, 000 701, 475, 000 314, 732, 000 781, 947, 000 1, 327, 882, 000 1, 239, 368, 000 1, 239, 368, 000 204, 123, 000 204, 123, 000 204, 123, 000 265, 645, 000 2, 556, 234, 000 2, 448, 284, 000
oreign Trade (A	onsumption	1922	649	(a) 612, 775, 000 537, 810, 000 706, 199, 000 221, 625, 000 699, 535, 000 1, 475, 695, 000 765, 893, 000 153, 754, 000 153, 754, 000 369, 710, 000 369, 710, 000 369, 731, 000 369, 731, 000 3, 045, 809, 000
E	Imports for C	1913	40	406, 605, 000 370, 624, 000 894, 865, 000 325, 981, 000 594, 121, 000 208, 349, 000 1, 525, 317, 000 1, 575, 036, 000 252, 069, 000 252, 069, 000 252, 069, 000 3, 207, 951, 000 1, 756, 863, 000
	Countries			Argentina Australia Belgium Brazil British India ('anada. Denmark France. Germany Italy. Japan. Netherlands New Zealand Spain Sweden Switzerland Union of South Africa United Kingdom United States.

(a) Statistics for calendar year 1921. No statistics available re trade of Argentina in 1922.

statement showing the Expenditure of the Department inclusive:following 4L Harch 2

EXPENDITURE

administered by Department of Agriculture. *The 1911 Census was taken when this service was †Established in 1918. †Attached to Inland Revenue Department prior to tattached to Inland Revenue Department prior to date indicated.

REVENUE

com war administrations) history, (whiel March 31, 1923, was the largest and the War Trade Board (which Commerce. and Department of Trade of Grain Supervisors the fiscal year ended for Office were under the Department the JO. Revenue pared with those Patent The the and

years, period of ted during venue of the Department for the services indica 1923, inclusive:-Summary of March to ದ following April from The Viz.,

- C. C	anada in Act 10, 184	Weights and Measures*	Gas and Electricity*	est ct	Board of Grain Supervisors \$ cts.	War Trade Board \$ cts.	Miscellaneous Rovenue \$ cts. 14 78	Patent Feest \$ cts	2000 ee c
517, 913, 459, 082, 092, 937, 364,	03.4 63 616 46 811 40 875 88 605 59 637 48 037 48	149, 473 43 264, 218 95 269, 805 65 278, 259 04	175, 125, 27 221, 828, 60 221, 550, 80 204, 420, 31	3, 114 54 1, 737 07 1, 554 78 1, 342 18 1, 229 49 1, 272 82 2, 070 15	350,000 00	262, 469 34	22, 225 76 22, 225 09 26, 603 15 152, 556 17 15, 496 22 17, 638 77	275, 708 85 354, 496 97	2, 521, 045 9 937, 578 6 1, 463, 399 3 1, 527, 826 5 2, 537, 999 5 2, 066, 725 5 2, 444, 175 7 2, 864, 355 6
859,	835 77	961,757 07	812,921 98	14, 120 43	350,000 00	262, 469 34	307,258 08	630, 205 82	16, 298, 571 49

Inland Measures and Gas and Electricity Services were under the Department of *Prior to September, 1918, the Weights and Measure; Culler's Act abolished in 1921.

†Patent Office under Trade and Commerce for perio

ds indicated.

DOMINION BUREAU OF STATISTICS

The organization of the Dominion Bureau of Statistics which is in charge of Mr. R. H. Coats, Dominion Statistician was enlarged during the year by arrangements with the Department of the Interior and the Department of Labour respectively, whereby the statistics of forestry and the monthly record of employment conditions were taken over by the bureau. Otherwise, the chief changes were in matters of detail connected with the several branches.

In the Demography Branch, the outstanding work of the year was in commection with the compilation of the 1921 Census, which employed a large staff continuously throughout the period. The completion, also, in this branch of the first Annual Report on Vital Statistics for Canada will mark a new step forward in the study of the subject of public hygiene in Canada.

In the Agricultural Statistics Branch, the monthly crop reporting service was maintained, and the usual annual estimates of acreages, yields, live stock, etc., published. Several special investigations were conducted.

In Mining Statistics, the first annual report on Mineral Production to be brought out under the bureau was completed during the year—also special reports on the Chemical Industries of Canada and on the Production of Iron and Steel in Canada. Beginning with January, 1923, the monthly coal bulletin of this branch was issued in printed form. The branch now comprehensively covers mining and metallurgy, and the various manufactures based thereon.

Summary statistics of General Manufactures for 1920 were issued; also upwards of fifty mimeograph statements relating to specific industries as recorded in 1921. Publications included the usual annual reports on Forestry and Allied Industries, Pulp and Paper, Fisheries, Furs, etc., etc.

Under the heading of Criminal Statistics, juvenile delinquency received special attention and some valuable analyses were added to the annual report.

The Transportation Branch issued its usual annual and monthly statements, covering the statistics of railways, canals, telephones and telegraphs, express companies, and central electric power stations. A summary at the end of the year of the bureau's monthly report on Railway Operating Statistics has proved of special interest to the public.

In the External Trade Statistics Branch some further improvements in the classification and presentation of the statistics were made in the annual report, whilst a further gain in respect to timeliness of issue was made in the case of the monthly report.

The Internal Trade Branch completed the first annual report on commodity prices to be issued under the arrangement governing this subject between the bureau and the Department of Labour. The efficial index number for Canada will in future be weighted and will be shown in an exhaustive series of subsidiary indexes. Publications of this branch now include annual reports on the grain and live stock trade, monthly reports on cold storages, on the grain movement, mill grind, visible supply of sugar, etc.

The compilation of the statistics of provincial and municipal Finance were

continued on the same basis as previously.

The Education Branch of the bureau effected still further co-ordinations in the education statistics of Canada during the year, and the Canada Year Book, as the official compendium of data relating to the institutions, resources and general social and economic conditions of the country was improved in several of its sections and is now at once more comprehensive and concise than in previous years.

COMMERCIAL INTELLIGENCE SERVICE

I think I can say with confidence that during the year the Commercial Intelligence Service, which is in charge of Director H. R. Poussette, has continued to increase in efficiency and usefulness to Canadian exporters. That this is so is testified to by the many unsolicited appreciations which have been received from Canadian manufacturers and producers engaged in export trade. The Commercial Intelligence Service is not content with merely passing on information to manufacturers but is exerting itself to stimulate interest in export trade and it is, I firmly believe, the means of constantly adding to the number of those engaged in it.

Trade Commissioners

Mr. P. W. Ward, Trade Commissioner to the Straits Settlements, as result of continued illness, was recalled to Canada in November. Mr. Ward, however, resigned from the service, his resignation to take effect from the 15th of July next.

Mr. A. B. Muddiman, formerly in charge of the Bristol office, was transferred to take charge of the Singapore office, and assumed his new duties on February 19, 1923.

Assistant Trade Commissioners

The following Junior Trade Commissioners were appointed as Assistant Trade Commissioners and left to take up their duties at their respective offices on the dates given as follows:—

Mr. P. W. Cook, Buenos Aires, June 27, 1922.
Mr. G. A. R. Emery, Shanghai, June 29, 1922.

Mr. F. H. Palmer, New York, July 15, 1922.

Mr. D. S. Cole, London, July 22, 1922.

Mr. C. M. Croft, Auckland, March 30, 1923. Mr. R. S. O'Meara, Calcutta, March 23, 1923. Mr. L. M. Cosgrave, London, March 30, 1923. Mr. A. F. MacEachern, Milan, April 6, 1923.

Mr. Cole was assigned duty at the Glasgow office during the absence of Mr. G. B. Johnson on tour in Canada, and later became Acting Trade Commissioner at the Bristol office on the departure of Mr. A. B. Muddiman for Singapore.

Official Tours in Canada

During the fiscal year a number of Trade Commissioners in accordance with the policy of the department were recalled to Canada for official tours.

Mr. J. Forsyth Smith, Trade Commissioner at Liverpool, arrived in Canada at the end of May and in the interest of exporters of fruit to Great Britain made a tour extending from Halifax to Vancouver, ending in November.

Dr. J. W. Ross, Trade Commissioner at Shanghai, arrived in Canada, August

14 and was on tour until November 23.

Mr. G. B. Johnson, Trade Commissioner at Glasgow, arrived in Canada

on August 31 and sailed on December 9, having visited every province.

Mr. W. J. Egan arrived in Canada on November 27, 1922. His tour has been interrupted owing to the necessity for making use of his services in connection with the extension courses in export trade and the special tour through Western Ontario.

Mr. P. W. Ward assisted in the extension courses in export trade and later

undertook a tour of visits to firms interested in trade with his territory.

Taking advantage of the presence of Mr. G. R. Stevens, Trade Commissioner to Jamaica, who came to Canada to spend his statutory leave, his services were

also utilized in connection with these courses, and while so engaged in the centres at which they were held he was able by correspondence and interview to assist many firms interested in the British West Indies.

Mr. B. S. Webb, Trade Commissioner to the Argentine, arrived on March

16 and immediately commenced a tour of about three months' duration.

In so far as possible the tours of Trade Commissioners follow on a definite plan. Two months before the arrival of a Trade Commissioner, notification of his coming visit is published in the Commercial Intelligence Journal, with the suggestion that firms who would like to have an interview with him in regard to trade with his particular territory should notify the department. In addition the Trade Commissioner is requested to send in a special report indicating the Canadian commodities for which there is a growing market, and this is also published in the Commercial Intelligence Journal. When he reaches Ottawa are itinerary is arranged, based on the number of firms in various centres whom he thinks he ought to see, and it is then published in the Commercial Intelligence Journal. The secretaries of Boards of Trade and the individual firms are later notified a few days in advance of the Trade Commissioner's arrival. These officers in every case have co-operated with the department by way of giving publicity and making arrangements for interviews on behalf of firms.

Official Tours in Foreign Countries

Mr. G. R. Stevens, Trade Commissioner to Jamaica, made an extended tour of Guatemala, British Honduras, Bermuda and the Bahamas. He also visited Cuba in connection with tariff matters.

Mr. C. Noel Wilde, on his way from Buenos Aires to Mexico City to take up his duties as Trade Commissioner, visited and prepared a report upon Peru.

Before his departure for Italy, Mr. A. F. MacEachern, Junior Trade Commissioner, made a special trip to Newfoundland and prepared a special report on

the trade of that colony.

Mr. H. R. Poussette, Director of the Commercial Intelligence Service, spent four months in Europe inspecting the Trade Commissioners' offices in Brussels, Paris, Rotterdam, Milan, and the United Kingdom and looking into the possibilities of Canadian trade in Germany, Scandinavian countries, Latvia and Esthonia.

The Honourable the Minister, during his trip to Australia in connection with tariff negotiations inspected the offices of the Trade Commissioners at Auckland and Melbourne, and made some investigation, with the assistance of Mr. H. A. Chisholm, Trade Commissioner to India, into trade possibilities with that country.

Junior Trade Commissioners

During the year five new Junior Trade Commissioners were appointed by competitive examination through the Civil Service Commission and are now in training. They reported for duty on the following dates:—

Mr. J. A. Langley, November 20. Mr. Y. Lamontagne, January 8.

Mr. G. Parizeau, January 10.

Mr. H. B. Roy, February 1.

Mr. H. C. Suydam, February 3.

The training of Junior Trade Commissioners is now carried on under a system whereby these officers do a certain proportion of routine work in the department, thus familiarizing themselves with every phase of the administration at Ottawa, and complete their training in Canada by visits to manufacturers and special investigations throughout the Dominion.

14 GEORGE V. A. 1924

During the year a system of grading Trade Commissioners was introduced. By this system Canadian Trade Commissioners are graded into three classes: first, second, and third. Under the new arrangement an Assistant Trade Commissioner on being promoted to the rank of Trade Commissioner will automatically enter the first grade, and in the course of time will be promoted to the second and third grades, providing always he shows sufficient merit. By the introduction of this system it is hoped to secure greater efficiency and maintain the high standard which we confidently look for in the service, since it is laid down that promotion is to be by merit alone.

Publications

The following special reports were issued by the Commercial Intelligence Service during the year:—

"Packing for Overseas Markets"—being a reproduction of special reports by Trade Commissioners on this subject.

"Trading Opportunities in Scandinavia" by Mr. Norman D. Johnston.

"Trading with Switzerland" by Mr. W. McL. Clarke.

Extension Courses in Export Trade

Last year the department, through the Director of Commercial Intelligence Service, arranged with the University of Toronto, McGill University and l'Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales, to inaugurate extension courses in export trade, to run for a period of two weeks in each institution. These courses commenced at the University of Toronto on January 15, McGill University on January 29, and l'Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales on February 12.

They were successful beyond the most sanguine expectations, there being about 77 registrations at Toronto, 137 at McGill, and about 85 at l'Ecole des Hautes Etudes Commerciales. Lectures were given by professors of the various institutions and officers of the Commercial Intelligence Service, and addresses were given by a number of gentlemen prominent in banking, railway and commercial circles in Toronto and Montreal. Those who attended expressed themselves as being very pleased with the courses, and believed that they would be most helpful to them in their future commercial careers. The success of these courses forms a very good criterion of the changed attitude of Canadian manufacturers towards export trade.

Special Tour through Western Ontario

Commencing on February 27, a special tour was undertaken through western Ontario by the Director Commercial Intelligence Service, accompanied by Mr. W. J. Egan, Canadian Trade Commissioner, Capetown, Mr. P. W. Ward, late Canadian Trade Commissioner at Singapore, Mr. Alex. Marshall, Manager of the Commercial Intelligence Department of the Canadian Manufacturers' Association, and Mr. J. S. McKinnon, Assistant Director of Exhibits, Canadian Train for France. The cities visited were Hamilton, London, Windsor, Kitchener, St.Catharines, Brantford. The object of the tour was to stimulate interest in export trade and the best method of conducting it. Judging by the interest evinced the tour was a success, and it is hoped that as a result greater activity will be shown in export trade in the centres visited.

Films

Two films made in the Exhibits and Publicity Bureau, Department of Trade and Commerce, under supervision of the Director, Commercial Intelli-

gence Service, have been shown at various industrial centres in Ontario and Quebec during the past year. Of these films, that entitled "Export Trade and Prosperity" was designed to enable manufacturers to visualize the effects of good and bad methods upon their own fortunes. The other film, "Packing for Export," was intended to show manufacturers simple methods of testing containers, in order to ascertain their suitability to withstand the hazards of a journey overseas. Both films have been favourably commented upon by those who have seen them.

Exporters' and Importers' Directories

The compilation of the Directory of Canadian Exporters was completed and is now available for ready reference. It is really a card index system containing the name of every firm of whom the department has knowledge, exporting from Canada. The names of these firms are also listed under commodity headings. Revision of the cards is continuous and periodically corrections and additions are forwarded to each Trade Commissioner so that the duplicate directory in his office can be kept up to date. It is possible by reference to this directory for the department or a Trade Commissioner to furnish a foreign inquirer with lists of Canadian firms exporting any particular commodity.

The set of Directories of Foreign Importers for those territories in which Canadian Trade Commissioners are stationed was completed. These directories give the names of foreign firms who wish to import Canadian products and are listed under commodity headings. Canadian firms who wish to ascertain the names of foreign firms likely to be interested in the importation of their products can be furnished with accurate lists.

Publicity

During the year in addition to addresses given by Trade Commissioners and Junior Trade Commissioners in the course of their tours, many requests for talks on the work of the Commercial Intelligence Service from Export Clubs, Boards of Trade, Chambers of Commerce and other organizations were met by sending officers to these meetings. At the annual convention of the Canadian Manufacturers' Association at St. Andrews-by-the-Sea, N.B., in June, the addresses were given by Mr. C. H. Payne, Secretary of the Commercial Intelligence Service, Mr. Frederick Hudd, Trade Commissioner in New York, and Mr. T. Geddes Grant, Canadian Commercial Agent, Port of Spain, Trinidad. Several special articles were also supplied to Canadian publications.

NEGLIGENCE IN CORRESPONDENCE

It is to be regretted that the department finds it necessary to so frequently refer to negligence in correspondence by Canadian correspondents. It is exceedingly discouraging to the officers of the department to be required to write so many letters to firms whom we desire to assist.

One example might be given. In connection with the establishment of the sales room in Shanghai, the intention of the department to rent adequate accommodation for the exhibition of Canadian samples was made known, and subsequently fifty-five Canadian firms notified the department of their intention to participate and would forward their cheque for the space reserved when notified by the department that the accommodation was ready.

On the receipt of the announcement from Dr. Ross that he had secured a desirable location for the sales room, a circular letter was issued on January 23, to all firms who had notified us of their intention to participate, asking them

(a) to forward their cheque to the department for the amount they had agreed to pay, and (b) to advise us whether or not their samples had yet been despatched to China for installation.

The following is an indication of the various kinds of replies received for a period of thirty-two days after the despatch of the original circular letter

and two subsequent follow-up letters of February 2 and 12:-

Fifteen firms sent in cheques and stated samples had been despatched.

Thirteen firms sent in cheques but did not mention anything in regard to samples.

Six firms stated samples had gone but asked how much they were expected

to pay.

One firm asked how much they were expected to pay but did not mention samples.

One firm expressed pleasure at learning the sample room was now open but

mentioned neither cheque nor samples.

One firm stated they had made payment to their agent but did not mention samples.

One firm explained they were a subsidiary and the matter would be

handled by the parent company.

Two firms sent in their cheques unaccompanied by any letter.

One firm stated export manager was ill, samples had gone and cheque would follow.

One firm stated export manager was ill and could therefore take no action. One firm sent in a cheque for half the required amount and did not mention samples.

The net result was, therefore, that after thirty-two days of correspondence

only forty-one firms had satisfactorily dealt with our requests.

One firm was apparently awaiting the return of the export manager.

One firm had not implemented their promise of a month ago to send in their cheque.

Five firms had not, although twice requested to do so, advised us as to

whether or not their samples had yet been despatched.

These unsatisfactory replies necessitated the writing of more than one hundred unnecessary letters.

British and Foreign Tariff Legislation

The Foreign Tariff Division, which is in charge of Mr. William Gilchrist, has compiled much valuable information for inquirers throughout the year.

Since the end of the war, each year has witnessed the enactment of many tariff laws throughout the world. This is not to be wondered at when one considers how closely ideas of customs duties and international trade are associated and the extent to which this trade and the industries which support it were dislocated by the war. During the Canadian fiscal year 1922-23 several countries adopted entirely new tariffs. Many others made extensive changes in their customs schedules, and, in not a few instances, special rates of duty were established between particular countries by means of commercial treaties. To summarize this large volume of tariff legislation would be outside the scope of this report.

In the following survey mention will be made mainly of the more important tariff changes of the past fiscal year or those revisions which particularly affect Canada. It has not been found practicable to verify all the data by reference to actual tariff laws of the countries concerned, but statements not so verified have been confirmed by announcements in the *British Board of Trade Journal*.

New Tariff of the United States

A new United States tariff went into force on September 22, 1922, superseding the Tariff Act of October 3, 1913. With the enactment of the new tariff the United States Emergency Tariff of May 27, 1921, also passed out of existence.

The Emergency Tariff had been of special interest to Canada, as it dealt almost exclusively with agricultural products. Among the articles of Canadian export affected by the Emergency Tariff were wheat, wheat flour, and cattle, all of which had formerly been free of duty. The Emergency Tariff imposed a duty on wheat of 35 cents per bushel, on wheat flour and semolina 20 per cent ad valorem, and on cattle 30 per cent ad valorem. During the first twelve months under the Emergency Tariff, when compared with the preceding twelve months, Canadian wheat exports to the United States fell from forty-eight millions to thirteen and one-half million bushels, the value dropping from one hundred and one million dollars to sixteen and one-half million dollars. For the same periods the value of cattle exports fell from twenty-two million dollars to three million dollars: wheat flour and semolina from thirteen million dollars to three and one-half million dollars. During the further four months that the Emergency Tariff was in effect there was a considerable recovery in the export of these commodities. Under the new tariff the wheat duty is reduced to 30 cents per bushel; the duty on wheat flour is changed to 78 cents per 100 pounds and the duty on cattle to $1\frac{1}{2}$ or 2 cents per pound, depending on the weight of animals.

While the level of duties is higher in the new tariff than in the 1913 tariff, there remains a duty free list of importance. Statistics of totals of Canada's exports to United States do not indicate that the new tariff has so far, at least, injuriously affected shipments, the export figures for the first six months under the 1922 tariff being two hundred and two million dollars, compared with one hundred and fifty-four and one-half million dollars for the corresponding six

months of the previous year.

Tariff Changes within the British Empire

Since the British West Indian colonies adopted customs tariffs granting Canada the preferences provided for in the reciprocal trade agreement of 1920. some of their tariffs have been revised upward. West Indian preferences are. in nearly all instances, a percentage reduction from the general rate. The slightly higher duties, therefore, increase a little the amount of the preference. A change of this kind took place in Barbados on April 25, 1922, when the prevailing rate of the general tariff was advanced from 20 per cent ad valorem to 24 per cent ad valorem, and in British Guiana, on August 31 and on December 4, 1922, when the duty on unspecified goods, namely, 26 per cent ad valorem, was by the changes raised to 33\frac{1}{3} per cent. Canada in each case has a rate one-half lower than that quoted. Ceylon adopted a new tariff on September 30, 1922, increasing the general rate (applicable mainly to unenumerated goods) from 73 per cent ad valorem to 10 per cent ad valorem. Some changes in import duties in British India became operative on Merch 1, 1923, and the British preferential feature in export duties on hides disappeared, the rate for all countries being made 5 per cent ad valorem. A Newfoundland surtax of 25 per cent of the duties, authorized August 12, 1921, was repealed as from February 17, 1923. Kenya Colony (East Africa) announced a new customs tariff on September 28, 1922, which tariff was adopted by Uganda on October 5, and by Tanganyika on January 1, 1923. In this new tariff Kenya classified goods more exhaustively but many articles are still unenumerated, on which class the

were proposed in the Budget of the Union of South Africa, presented on March 28, 1923. The British preference there remains about the same. On March 31, 1923, the Irish Free State ceased to be part of the customs territory of the United Kingdom, but retained the same tariff, including the preference for goods

of Empire origin.

The adoption of a standardized form of invoice and certificates of value and of origin in several British Dominions and colonies during the last year or so has very much simplified documentation of shipments to these markets. The form in question was recommended by an Imperial Customs Conference which met in London in March, 1921. It has since been accepted by Australia, New Zealand, Newfoundland, Union of South Africa, Northern Rhodesia, Southern Rhodesia, British Guiana, Barbados, Trinidad, Grenada, St. Vincent, St. Lucia, Dominica, Bahamas, Cyprus, Fiji, and with modifications by Jamaica, Gold Coast Colony, and Sierra Leone.

Tariff Revision in Various Parts of the World

Probably the most important tariff development among European countries during 1922-23 was the negotiation of commercial treaties and the preparatory work done for further treaty-making. As an illustration, the commercial arrangements between Spain and other countries during 1922 include these: Spain and Germany (January 14), Spain and Italy (April 20), Spain and Switzerland (May 16), Spain and France (July 15), Spain and Norway (October 7), Spain and United Kingdom (November 6).

The system of raising rates of duty by "co-efficients of increase" came to an end in France". Co-efficients of increase (or multipliers) were first established by Decree of July 8, 1919, and were revised from time to time. French duties are mainly based on a unit of quantity, rather than on value. When prices rose in consequence of the war, these quantity duties became low in comparison with their former ad valorem equivalent. The purpose of the co-efficients was to restore the tariff to its former level in relation to ad valorem duties.

Germany, on May 1, 1922, and again on October 4, advanced rates of duty 50 per cent or 100 per cent on a considerable number of articles. The duties of the German tariff are leviable in gold. On April 1, 1922, gold marks could be paid by sixty times the number of paper marks, but on March 31, 1923, the gold marks called for 5.000 times the number of paper marks, this being, of course, due to depreciation of German paper currency.

Several important changes were made in the Swedish tariff, effective April 27, 1922, and in the tariff of Greece on January 29, 1923. Nearly all the rates of the Norwegian tariff were on February 9, 1923, increased by 20 per cent of the then existing duties. New European tariffs were adopted as follows: Bulgaria (April 10, 1922). Latvia (June 2, 1922), Lithuania (June 30, 1922),

Portugal (March 27, 1923).

In pursuance of an agreement reached at the Washington Conference in January, 1922, a commission of delegates of the Treaty Powers met at Shanghai in April, 1922, to frame a tariff for China that would bring the specific duties of the Chinese tariff up to an effective 5 per cent ad valorem. The new Chinese tariff, it is understood, went into effect on January 17, 1923.

From March 1, 1923, the duties of the Colombian tariff were advanced 10 per cent. Brazilian duties were increased from March 31, 1923, by advancing from 55 per cent to 60 per cent the proportion of duties required to be paid on the basis of gold currency. In certain other Latin American countries tariff revisions were proposed but, with one or two possible exceptions, it would seem they were not carried to completion.

On December 31, 1922, according to the January Bulletin of the British Chamber of Commerce, Paris.

CANADA'S TARIFF ARRANGEMENTS WITH OTHER COUNTRIES

There were some developments of importance during the fiscal year just closed in regard to reciprocal tariff relations between Canada and other countries. In the present report it has been deemed advisable to present a brief history of events of this kind during the last few decades in so far as Canada has been directly concerned in them. Trade arrangements of the fiscal year 1922-23 are mentioned in their proper place at the end of this review.

The Belgian and German Treaties

September 30, 1891.

On September 30, 1891, the Canadian House of Commons (with a brief amendment) concurred in an address of the Senate to Her Majesty the Queen asking that steps be taken to denounce and terminate certain provisions in Great Britain's treaty of 1862 with Belgium and her treaty of 1865 with the German Zollverein. The effect of the treaty provisions in question was to prevent lower import duties being charged in British colonies on imported goods the produce of the United Kingdom than are charged on similar goods the produce of Belgium or Germany. Furthermore, under the most-favoured-nation clause in many British treaties, any tariff privilege accorded Belgium and Germany would have to be extended to various other foreign countries. The address was forwarded on October 22. The British Government, replying on April 2, 1892, declined to grant the request made by Canada.

Canadian Offer of Reciprocity to United Kingdom

April 25, 1892.

On April 25, 1892, the House of Commons on motion of Mr. A. McNeill, North Bruce, passed the following resolution:—

"That if and when the Parliament of Great Britain and Ireland admits Canadian products to the markets of the United Kingdom upon more favourable terms than it accords to the products of foreign countries, the Parliament of Canada will be prepared to accord corresponding advantages by a substantial reduction in the duties it imposes upon British manufactured goods." (Yeas, 97; nays, 63.)

On this occasion, an amendment, moved by Mr. L. A. Davies (afterward Sir Louis Davies), Queen's, P.E.I., was lost on division. The amendment read:—

"That inasmuch as Great Britain admits the products of Canada into her ports free of duty, this House is of the opinion that the present scale of duties exacted on goods mainly imported from Great Britain should be reduced." (Yeas, 64; nays, 98.)

French Treaty of 1893 Signed

February 6, 1893.

A treaty was signed at Paris on February 6, 1893, which provided for the French minimum tariff (and the advantage of any reduction of duty granted to any other power) on certain Canadian goods, mainly, canned meats, condensed milk, boots and shoes, particular kinds of fish and fruits, skins, furniture, timber, wood pulp, and common paper. In exchange, Canada was to give France special rates on wines, common and eastile soaps, nuts, almonds, prunes and plums, also most-favoured-nation treatment in tariff matters. The treaty was subject to sanction by the Canadian Parliament and by the French Chambers. It was to become operative after exchange of ratifications. The French colonies were included.

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

Canada Sanctions French Treaty of 1893

July 23, 1894.

A French Treaty Act was assented to in Canada on July 23, 1894, sanctioning the French Treaty of 1893. It was to be brought into force by proclamation.

British Empire and "Most Favoured Nations" to be Given Benefits of French
Treaty

July 22, 1895.

A Canadian Act was assented to on July 22, 1895, which provided for extending the advantages of the French treaty of 1893 to Great Britain, the British Colonies, and to foreign countries entitled to most-favoured-nation treatment on account of their treaties with Britain.

French Treaty Act of 1894 Brought into Force

October 10, 1895.

A proclamation was made by the Governor in Council on October 10, 1895, declaring the provisions of the French Treaty Act in force from October 14.

List of Foreign Countries Granted Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment in 1895
October 14, 1895.

According to a Canadian Customs Memorandum issued October 14, 1895, the foreign powers entitled to the same advantages as France under the Act of 1895 respecting commercial treaties affecting Canada, were: Argentine Republic, Austria-Hungary, Belgium, Bolivia, Chile, Colombia, Costa Rica, Germany (Zollverein), Muscat, Russia, Salvador, Sweden and Norway. These countries, as well as Great Britain and her colonies, were by this Memorandum given the benefit of the French treaty

Spain Given Benefit of French Treaty of 1893

March 3, 1896.

By Order in Council passed on March 3, 1896, Spain was added to the list of countries having a right to participate in the advantages conceded to France under the treaty of 1893.

Canada's Reciprocal Tariff of 1897

April 22, 1897

A new tariff was submitted to the House of Commons on April 22, 1897, assented to June 29, 1897, containing provision for a "Reciprocal Tariff". From April 23, 1897, until June 30, 1898, the general tariff was to be reduced by one-eighth, and afterwards by one-fourth, on a reciprocal basis.

Great Britain and Ireland Given Tariff Benefits

April 23, 1897

On April 23, 1897, Collectors of Customs were notified that the Reciprocal Tariff applied to products of Great Britain and Ireland.

New South Wales Tariff Regarded as Reciprocal

May 22, 1897

On May 22, 1897, a Customs Memorandum was issued extending the Reciprocal Tariff to New South Wales. This was done on account of the reciprocal character of that colony's tariff.

Foreign Countries Granted Reciprocal Tariff

September 4, 1897

On September 4, 1897, a Customs Memorandum was issued extending the Reciprocal Tariff to certain foreign countries. It was extended to Belgium and Germany under treaties, already mentioned, which these countries had with Britain. The Reciprocal Tariff was extended to Argentina, Austria-Hungary, Bolivia, Colombia, Denmark, Persia, Russia, Sweden, Tunis, Venezuela, and Switzerland, under favoured-nation treaties between these countries and Britain, and to France, Algeria, and the French colonies, in consequence of the Franco-Canadian treaty of 1893. The concession was made to extend from April 23, 1897, to August 1, 1898.

Lower Tariff Extended to British India

September 24, 1897

On September 24, 1897, a Customs Memorandum was issued granting the Reciprocal Tariff to British India. This was done on account of the reciprocal character of her tariff.

Netherlands and Japan Granted Reciprocal Tariff

November 5, 1897

On November 5, 1897, a Customs Memorandum was issued granting the Reciprocal Tariff to the Netherlands and Japan. This was done on account of the reciprocal character of their tariffs.

Liberia, Morocco, Salvador, South African Republic, Tonga, and Spain Treated as Most Favoured Nations

January 1, 1898

On January 1, 1898, a Customs Memorandum was issued extending the Reciprocal Tariff to Liberia, Morocco, Salvador, South African Republic, Tonga, and Spain. These countries were given the Reciprocal Tariff under most-favoured-nation treaties between them and the United Kingdom.

Canada Adopts British Preferential Tariff

June 13, 1898

Section 17 of the Tariff Act of 1897, which provided for the Reciprocal Tariff, was repealed by an Act asserted to on June 13, 1898. In lieu of the Reciprocal Tariff, was adopted a British preferential tariff. The change was to become effective on August 1, 1898. The amount of the preference was fixed at one-tourth of the ordinary duty. The Act itself stipulated that the preference would apply to the United Kingdom, Bermuda, British West Indies, and British Guiana. Provision was made for extending the British preference to any British possession whose tariff was equally favourable to Canada.

British Preference Extended to India and Certain Colonies

July 14, 1898

On July 14, 1898, the British preferential tariff was extended to British India, Ceylon, New South Wales, and Straits Settlements.

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

Belgian and German Treaties Terminated

July 31, 1898

In pursuance of notice given by Great Britain, the Belgian and German Treaties expired on July 31, 1898, leaving Canada free to confine tariff preferences to British countries. The object of Great Britain in denouncing these treaties was to secure autonomy for the Colonies in the matter of British preferential tariffs.

Canada and Germany Apply Their General Tariffs Against Each Other

July 31, 1898

The consequence of Britain's denunciation of the most-favoured-nation treaty with Germany was that Canada withdrew her British preference from Germany and Germany withdrew her conventional tariff from Canada. Each country then applied its general tariff to goods imported from the other.

British Preference Increased to 331-3 Per Cent

July 1, 1900

By an amendment to the Act of June 13, 1898, which amendment was assented to on July 7, 1900, the British preference was increased, the new preferential rate being two-thirds of the ordinary tariff. The schedule establishing the increased British preference became effective on July 1, 1900.

Preference Withdrawn from New South Wales

January 23, 1903

A Customs Memorandum was issued on January 23, 1903, withdrawing the preferential tariff from New South Wales (now part of Australia).

Canada Imposes Surtax on German Goods

April 16, 1903

The Customs Tariff Act of 1897 was amended (amending act assented to October 24, 1903) providing for a surtax of one-third of duty on goods the product of any foreign country which treats imports from Canada less favourably than those from other countries. The amendment was observed from the date of its introduction on April 16, 1903. A Canadian Customs Memorandum was at once issued applying the surtax to German goods.

Canada and New Zealand Exchange Preferences

February 26, 1904

Canada, by order in council, February 26, 1904, granted New Zealand the Canadian preferential tariff, New Zealand having in 1903 adopted a preferential tariff for imports of British and British colonial origin.

Preferences Extended to British South Africa

July 1, 1904

Canada, by order in council, July 1, 1904, extended to Cape of Good Hope, Natal, Orange River Colony, Transvaal, and Southern Rhodesia, her British preferential tariff. This was done on a reciprocal basis.

British South Africa under French Treaty Rates

July 5, 1904.

A Canadian Customs Memorandum was issued on July 5, 1904, stating that the advantages granted to the products of France under article 1 of the French Treaty (1893) as quoted in the Memorandum applied to similar products of British South Africa when imported into Canada.

Canada Obtains Japanese Conventional Rates

July 12, 1906.

A convention was signed January 31, 1906, and ratified July 12, 1906, between Great Britain and Japan, which obtained for Canada the conventional rates of the Japanese tariff. Canada in return gave Japan as low tariff rates as she gave France.

Canadian Tariff of 1907 has Three Schedules

April 12, 1907.

A new Customs Tariff was assented to April 12, 1907, which established three schedules of duties, namely, British preferential, intermediate, and general. The act itself declared that the British preferential rates should apply to the products of the United Kingdom, New Zealand, certain portions of British South Africa, Southern Rhodesia, British West Indies, British Guiana, Bermuda, British India, Ceylon, and Straits Settlements. The British preference could be further extended by Order in Council and the rates of the intermediate tariff could be granted by Order in Council in consideration of benefits received.

Franco-Canadian Trade Convention of 1907 Signed

September 19, 1907.

A convention concerning commercial relations between Canada and France was signed at Paris on September 19, 1907, subject to approval by the French Chambers and the Canadian Parliament and exchange of ratifications.

French Convention Act is Passed

April 3, 1908.

Assent was given on April 3, 1908, to the French Convention Act by which the Canadian Parliament approved the Franco-Canadian trade convention of 1907.

Change in 1907 Franco-Canadian Trade Convention

January 23, 1909.

A supplementary trade convention between Canada and France was signed at Paris on January 23, 1909, slightly altering one of the schedules of the 1907 convention. This convention was approved in Canada by an Act assented to on December 3, 1909.

1907-9 Treaty with France brought into Force

February 1, 1910.

The French Convention Acts of 1908 and 1909 had approved the Franco-Canadian treaty arranged in 1907 and 1909. Ratifications were exchanged at Paris on February 1, 1910. As a result of this treaty, Canada obtained the French minimum tariff on a considerable number of items in exchange for the intermediate tariff on many articles and rates lower than the intermediate on a limited list of goods.

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

French Treaty Rates Extended to British Countries and "Most-Favoured Nations"

February 1, 1910.

Under the 1908 French Convention Act, the tariff concessions made to France were accorded to the United Kingdom and all British colonies and possessions. Similar concessions were made to Argentina, Austria-Hungary, Bolivia, Colombia, Denmark, Japan, Norway, Russia, Spain, Sweden, Switzerland, and Venezuela, through being entitled to most-favoured-nation treatment in tariff matters by Canada.

Canada Removes German Surtax in Return for Certain Conventional Rates
March 1, 1910.

By Order in Council of February 15, 1910, Canada removed the surtax imposed on German goods in 1903, and in return obtained conventional rates of the German tariff on a specified list of goods, the agreement being operative from March 1, 1910.

Arrangement with United States to Escape Maximum Duties

March 31, 1910.

On March 30, 1910, Hon. W. S. Fielding, Minister of Finance explained to the House of Commons and presented some correspondence relative to negotiations arising out of the possibility of Canadian goods being made subject to the maximum duties of the Payne-Aldrich tariff (1909.) This would have meant an additional duty of 25 per cent ad valorem. An arrangement was made whereby Canada lowered her general tariff on thirteen items to the level of the intermediate, favouring the United States to that extent, but extending the reduced rates also to other countries. The Canadian tariff was amended accordingly, effective March 31, 1910. The United States refrained from imposing her maximum duties on Canadian products.

Reciprocity with Italy

June 3, 1910.

By Order in Council of June 3, 1910, Canada accorded to Italy her intermediate tariff on a specified list of articles in exchange for the conventional rates of the Italian tariff on certain Canadian goods. The arrangement became effective on June 10, 1910.

Belgium's Most-Favoured-Nation Treatment

June 7, 1910.

In return for the benefit of a comparatively low tariff in Belgium, also Belgium's most-favoured-nation treatment, Canada, by Order in Council, on June 7, 1910, extended to Belgium her intermediate tariff on a specified list of goods, dating from June 10, 1910.

Canada Recognizes Holland's Favourable Tariff

June 7, 1910.

On account of the low tariff in effect in Holland on goods imported from Canada, the rates of the intermediate tariff of Canada on a specified list of goods were extended to Holland by Order in Council of June 7, 1910, effective from June 10, 1910.

Reciprocity with Japan Continued

July 17, 1911.

The convention between Britain and Japan dated January 31, 1906, according to notice given, ceased to have effect from July 17, 1911. An Order in Council was passed on July 13, 1911, bringing into force an Act dated May 19, 1911, which provided for extending on a reciprocal basis for two years most favoured-nation treatment to Japan.

Extension to British Colonies of Canadian Preferences

February 1, 1913.

Canada, by Order in Council, January 25, 1913, extended the British preferential tariff to 25 British colonies in addition to the British countries enumerated in paragraph 1 of Section 3 of the Customs Tariff of 1907, the order taking effect on February 1, 1913. Australia, Newfoundland, and a few other British possessions were still left out.

Japanese Treaty Act Provides for Reciprocity

May 1, 1913.

The Act respecting customs duties on imports from Japan assented to May 19, 1911, expired July 17, 1913. A Japanese Treaty Act assented to on April 10, 1913, was, by proclamation, brought into effect on May 1, 1913. This Act sanctioned, with certain provisos, a treaty between Britain and Japan signed at London on April 3, 1911. Under the treaty. Canada was granted the conventional rates of the Japanese tariff and in return gave Japan the benefit of the French treaty of 1907-9, that is to say, most-favoured-nation treatment.

British West Indies Give Canada a Twenty Per Cent Preference

June 2, 1913.

An Act respecting an agreement between Canada and certain West Indian colonies, assented to May 24, 1913, was brought into force on June 2, 1913, by proclamation. The agreement obtained for Canada, with respect to a specified list of articles, a rate of four-fifths of the duty imposed on similar goods when imported from any foreign country. In return, Canada, by the Act, was to give the West Indian colonies a corresponding preference on a list of specified articles or the regular British preference, whichever was the lower rate.

Canada's Trade Relations as Affected by the War

August 4, 1914.

The war with Germany broke out on August 4. 1914, and with Austria-Hungary on August 12. Beginning August 5. Canadian Customs Memoranda were issued from time to time during the war relative to commercial relations between Canada and enemy countries and to other measures of trade control. Treaties between Great Britain and the late enemy countries disappeared at the outbreak of the war. A British treaty of December 5, 1876, with Austria-Hungary had provided for reciprocal most-favoured-nation relationship between that country and British possessions and its termination consequently affected Canada.

62655 - 3

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

Free Entry of Canadian Wheat and Flour into United States on Reciprocal Basis

April 16, 1917.

On April 16, 1917, Canada, by Order in Council, removed her duties on wheat, wheat flour, and semolina, thus taking advantage of the clause in the United States tariff of 1913 providing for reciprocal free entry of these products.

Canada and Portugal

September 17, 1917.

On September 17, 1917, the Department of Customs issued a memorandum notifying Collectors of the adhesion (with a proviso) of Canada to the Anglo-Portugese treaty signed August 12, 1914, ratifications exchanged May 20, 1916. Portugal thus became entitled to the benefit of the French treaty, beginning September 23, 1916. In return Canada would have most-favoured-nation treatment in Portugal. Canada did not accept article 6 of the treaty which would require her to prohibit the import or sale of wine or liquor described as "port" or "madeira" other than the wine of Portugal or Madeira.

Canada Withdraws from Anglo-Portuguese Treaty

December 1, 1917.

With reference to Customs Memorandum issued September 17, 1917, another Memorandum was issued on December 1, 1917, stating that the Portuguese Government did not concur in the conditions upon which Canada proposed to adhere to the treaty and consequently Canada withdrew her adhesion, dating from December 1, 1917.

France Takes Steps to Denounce Trade Agreement of 1907-9

September 10, 1918.

The French Government denounced the Franco-Canadian Convention of 1907-9, the notice to run from September 10, 1918, but proposed that the convention, notwithstanding such denunciation, should continue in force subject to termination upon three months notice on either side. France took similar action in regard to other commercial treaties, her policy being to secure liberty of action in new post-war negotiations.

Canadian Potatoes Enter United States Free on Reciprocal Basis

November 7, 1918.

On November 7, 1918, an order in council was passed by which Canada removed the duty on potatoes. Canadian potatoes then entered free of duty into the United States under the reciprocal provisions of the 1913 United States tariff.

Tariff Clauses in Treaty of Peace with Germany

June 28, 1919.

Article 264 of the Treaty of Peace between the Allied and Associated Powers and Germany signed at Versailles on June 28, 1919, provided among other things that Germany undertake that products of any one of the Allied or Associated States imported into German territory should not be subjected to other or higher duties or charges (including internal charges) than those to which the like goods of any other such State or of any other foreign country are subject. Article 269 of the Versailles Treaty controlled Germany in certain

respects in regard to increasing duties but the longest period covered by this Article was three years. Germany's pre-war tariff contained many conventional rates of duty.

United Kingdom Gives Canada a Tariff Preference

September 1, 1919.

The United Kingdom, in the Finance Act, July 31, 1919, granted Canada and other parts of the Empire a preference effective September 1, 1919, of one-third or one-sixth of the duties of the general tariff with respect to nearly all dutiable goods.

Samoa Adopts Preferential Tariff

May 1, 1920.

On April 20, 1920, the New Zealand Government issued a customs order effective May 1, 1920, providing for a British preferential tariff in the mandated territory of Samoa, the preferences being applicable to Canadian goods, as well as those of other Empire origin.

1907-9 French Treaty Terminated on Notice from Canada

June 19, 1920.

A Canadian Customs Memorandum was issued on June 1, 1920, advising Collectors of Customs that notice had been given for the termination of the Franco-Canadian trade convention of 1907-9 whereby the convention would cease to be in force after June 19, 1920. The notice to bring the treaty to a termination had been given by Canada.

Preference in Cyprus

July 14, 1920.

A law was assented to on May 4, 1920, providing for a preferential tariff applicable to Canada and other parts of the British Empire. The law became effective on July 14, 1920.

Canada and British West Indies Make New Pact

May 3, 1921.

The West Indies Trade Agreement Act assented to May 3, 1921, approved a reciprocal trade agreement entered into between Canada and certain colonies in the West Indies on June 18, 1920. It included more colonies than did the agreement of 1913, which it superseded, and provided for larger preferences. Canada gave on nearly all goods a 50 per cent preference. The West Indian colonies give in return: Bahamas 25 per cent; Barbados 50 per cent; British Guiana 50 per cent; British Honduras 50 per cent; Jamaica 25 per cent; Leeward Islands 33\frac{1}{3} per cent; Trinidad 50 per cent; Windward Islands 33\frac{1}{3} per cent. These percentages are in each case percentage reductions bearing on rates otherwise leviable. The ratifying Act was to be brought into force by proclamation.

Modus Vivendi with France

May 3, 1921.

The Franco-Canadian trade convention of 1907-9 having been terminated in June, 1920, a modus vivendi was entered into between Canada and France on January 29, 1921. This was ratified in Canada by the French Trade Agreement Act assented to May 3, 1921. The modus vivendi in large measure 62655—3\frac{1}{2}

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

revived the former treaty. It was entered into pending the conclusion of a new commercial convention, with a view to which negotiations were to begin immediately. The modus vivendi was interpreted in Canada as not including the French colonies.

Proclamation Fixing Date for Bringing Canada-West Indies Trade Agreement
into Force

September 1, 1921.

Arrangements were made between Canada, on the one hand, and Bahama Islands, Barbados, British Guiana, British Honduras, Leeward Islands, Trinidad, and Windward Islands, on the other, fixing September 1, 1921, as the time for bringing into force by proclamation the Canada-West Indies trade agreement of 1920. Canada had been granting the preferences provided for in the agreement from May 10, and the preferences had been put into operation at various dates in the different West Indian colonies.

Preferential Tariff of Fiji

January 1, 1922.

Fiji, on November 11, 1921, adopted a British preferential tariff effective January 1, 1922, which applied to Canada and other parts of the Empire.

French Colonies Included in Modus Vivendi of 1921

February 22, 1922.

Some time after the modus vivendi of January, 1921, went into operation, it was learned that Canada was being accorded the benefits of this agreement in French colonies as well as in France. Consequently on February 22, 1922, a Canadian Order in Council was passed extending to the French colonies, possessions, etc., the same favoured-nation treatment as is accorded to France under the agreement in question.

Agreement Between Canada and Jamaica Proclaimed in Force

June 1, 1922.

It was arranged between Canada and Jamaica that June 1, 1922, should be the date for bringing the trade agreement of 1920 into force by proclamation as between them.

Anglo-Spanish Treaty Affects Canada

November 6, 1922.

A new Treaty of Commerce and Navigation between the United Kingdom and Spain was signed at Madrid on October 31, 1922, coming into operation on November 6, 1922. The treaty secures for the United Kingdom many reductions of duty from Spain's second or "lower" tariff. La Gazeta de Madrid of March 9, 1923, announced that in accordance with notifications made to Great Britain, the products of the British Dominions should pay on their importation into Spain the duties of the second column of the customs tariff, in consideration of the fact that these Dominions concede most-favoured-nation treatment to Spanish products. This arrangement, it was stated, should be operative in the first place for a period of six months, and thereafter should continue to apply until six months after the arrangement should have been denounced. Formerly Canada enjoyed most-favoured-nation treatment in Spain.

New Trade Agreement with France Awaiting Ratification

December 15, 1922.

A new Convention of Commerce between Canada and France was signed at Paris on December 15, 1922. The Convention is to come into force after being approved by the French Chambers and by the Canadian Parliament. It was still before the Canadian Parliament at the close of the fiscal year on March 31, 1923. As well as providing revised lists of Canadian articles for minimum tariff treatment and for intermediate rates in France, the new Convention secures exemption for Canada from the French general tariff increases of March 28, 1921, as long as the United States enjoys such exemption. The United States, which like Canada has French minimum or intermediate rates on only certain goods, has not so far been brought under the French decree of March 28, 1921, which increased the general tariff. Canada in return grants France a schedule of fixed duties and special percentages reductions on two other schedules.

Most-Favoured-Nation Relationship with Italy

January 4, 1923.

A Convention of Commerce between Canada and Italy was signed at London on January 4, 1923. The main provision of this convention is to provide for an exchange of most-favoured-nation treatment between the two countries in respect of customs duties. The convention was still before the Canadian Parliament for approval at the end of the fiscal year 1922-23.

Tariff Developments Summarized

From the foregoing it will be seen that Canada in April, 1897, adopted what was called a Reciprocal Tariff. This Reciprocal Tariff (being one-eighth lower than the General) was at once applied to Great Britain and Ireland and afterwards to New South Wales and to British India. At that time Belgium and Germany, on account of treaties which they had with Britain, were entitled to any tariff concessions that Canada made even to the Mother Country. In addition, a large group of countries, also because of their treaties with Britain, were entitled to the lowest tariff that Canada applied to any foreign nation The Reciprocal Tariff was extended to all these foreign countries, but this condition did not last long.

On July 31, 1898, Britain terminated her most-favoured-nation treaties with Belgium and Germany. This left Canada free to confine British preferential duties to the Mother Country or to sister Dominions and Colonies. About this time Canada repealed the Reciprocal Tariff. A British Preferential Tariff took its place. The British preference as first established in 1898 was 25 per cent but, in 1900, it was raised to 33\frac{1}{2} per cent. It was widely applied.

In 1907 a new customs tariff was introduced in Canada which established three schedules of duties, namely, general, British preferential, and intermediate. This is the tariff system that is in operation in Canada at the present time. Canada has extended her British preferential tariff to nearly the whole Empire with the exception of Australia and Newfoundland. In the case of the British West Indies, Canada, as a result of an agreement entered into in June, 1920, grants rates of duty even lower than those of the ordinary British preferential tariff schedule. The parts of the Empire now according Canada British preferential rates of duty are: United Kingdom (including Northern Ireland), Irish

Free State, New Zealand, Union of South Africa, Southern Rhodesia, Northern Rhodesia (except Congo Basin), fourteen British West Indian colonies (includ-

ing British Guiana and British Honduras), Cyprus, Samoa, and Fiji.

Canada has the special trade agreements already mentioned with France, Italy, Belgium, and the Netherlands. By sanctioning (with a proviso) the Anglo-Japanese treaty of 1911, Canada maintains most-favoured-nation relationship in tariff matters with Japan. Certain treaties between the United Kingdom and foreign countries include British possessions and pledge reciprocally most-favoured-nation treatment in tariff matters. The countries to which Canada now accords most-favoured-nation treatment in tariff matters on account of such treaties are: Argentina, Colombia, Denmark, Norway, Sweden, Switzerland, and Venezuela.

The absence of treaty relationship between Canada and any particular nation does not necessarily mean that such nation imposes higher duties on Canadian goods than the goods of another country. Canada's advantages or disadvantages under any tariff of the world can be properly estimated only by taking into account the tariff system and commercial arrangements of the

country concerned.

SHANGHAI SALES OFFICE

Very considerable success has attended the experiment of the department in opening a sales office in Shanghai. This office is in charge of Dr. J. W. Ross, Canadian Trade Commissioner. The department undertook to rent the necessary space, subletting the same to Canadian exporters. The scale arranged was as follows: 6 feet by 6 feet, \$50 per annum; 10 feet by 10 feet, \$75 per annum, special arrangements being made for any additional space occupied. The department also undertook to arrange for the lighting and janitor service, placing the space at the disposal of manufacturers, and leaving it to them to appoint their own agents and provide their own exhibits.

Approximately seventy-five Canadian firms took advantage of the sales office to display their goods, and gratifying orders have resulted therefrom. The sales office has been visited by many distinguished British and Chinese officials in Shanghai, and many complimentary notices have appeared in the

press.

CANADIAN BRANCH BANKS ABROAD

In his annual report for the fiscal year ending March 31, 1919, the undersigned commented upon the large number of Canadian branch banks abroad. Too much publicity can scarcely be given to the advantages thus afforded Canadian exporters, rather than having to rely upon foreign bankers through whom to transact business. It is therefore of considerable interest to note that since the last report referred to was compiled the list has been greatly extended, the number having grown from 113 to 205, as the appended statement will show. This list does not include the ordinary banking correspondents.

	Bank of Montreal	Bank of Nova Scotia	Canadian Bank of Commerce		Union Bank of Canada	Dominion Bank	La Banque Nationale
United Kingdom United States Newfoundland France Spain St. Pierre-Miquelon Cuba Haiti Dominica Republic Porto Rico British West Indies British Honduras Costa Rica Mexico British Guiana Argentine Brazil Colombia Venezuela	2 4 9 1	1 3 23 23	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1 1 9 1 1 55 3 6 3 23 1 1 3			
Uruguay		*		1			·
	19	46	17	118	2	2 .	1
			Γotal				205

CANADIAN GOVERNMENT MOTION PICTURE BUREAU

The Canadian Government Motion Picture Bureau, under the direction of Mr. R. S. Peck, has shown a consistent and healthy growth during the fiscal year just closed, despite a world-wide depression in the film industry, resulting in the various film markets being flooded with more motion picture material of every character than could be readily absorbed.

Co-operative Work

The outstanding feature of the activities of this bureau is the co-operative work which has been and is being performed for the various federal departments, and the Canadian National Railway System. This co-operative work deals with the production of motion picture publicity material, still photographs, lantern slides, bromide photographic enlargements, and art-coloured transparencies.

As a concrete instance of how our films are being used I may point to the Canadian National Parks Branch of the Department of the Interior. This branch employs an official lecturer who, during the past fiscal year, gave lectures, illustrated by Canadian motion pictures from this bureau, to over twenty-three thousand people. These lectures and films have been the means of increasing the number of visitors to the National Parks of Canada.

The Canadian Forestry Association has just completed a Dominion-wide forest protection propaganda campaign, in which our films were featured. Seventy thousand Canadians viewed these motion pictures, which were shown in two special railway coaches fitted out for the work.

Economy and Efficiency

The various departments of the Government requiring such photographic work as we produce, has effected a large saving, because of the facilities offered by the Canadian Government Motion Picture Bureau. At the same time the

work has been produced in an efficient and satisfactory manner to these departments, owing to the fact that we were able to keep in direct touch with various departmental representatives while the work was in progress.

Film Production Activities

Sixteen new "Seeing Canada" one-reel film subjects have been produced since the commencement of the new fiscal year. Competent film critics have declared that these new "Seeing Canada" subjects are of a higher film technique throughout than any of the previous films produced by this bureau. This situation has helped us to achieve a splendid distribution for the new material.

Many prints of each of these film subjects, together with subjects that have been made prior to the production of the film series mentioned above, are being and will be made to provide for the present world-wide distribution

of these "Seeing Canada" films.

The bureau has produced, since its establishment some few years ago, over one hundred film subjects, and world-wide connections now exist for the distribution of the "Seeing Canada" films through recognized international film distributors.

Federal Departments

The following federal departments have been served by this bureau during the fiscal year of 1922-23: Immigration and Colonization, Agriculture, Civil Service Commission, Insurance, Marine and Fisheries, Post Office, Air Board, Mines, International Joint Commission, Interior, and Railways and Canals.

Provincial Co-operation

The work performed by this bureau is also attracting the attention of the Provincial Governments.

The province of Alberta, through its Department of Agriculture, recently secured the loan of six of the "Seeing Canada" film subjects, which were exhibited through the central western American cities, before thousands of people.

The bureau also co-operated with the Quebec Provincial Government through its Health Department, which made use of a number of our films in

a health campaign.

Eleven art-coloured glass transparencies and still photographic views have been purchased from this bureau by the London, England, office of the Ontario Government.

Distribution in Canada

Distribution arrangements in the Dominion have been continued with the Canadian Universal Film Company, Limited, with offices in St. John, Montreal, Toronto, Calgary, Winnipeg, and Vancouver. An aggressive direct-by-mail advertising and publicity campaign has been made on the new "Series of Fifteen" "Seeing Canada" films, with the result that a large number of contracts with the leading motion picture theatres of Canada has been secured, and interest awakened and maintained among the exhibitors of Canada in the film work that the bureau is doing.

In addition to the regular theatrical distribution being secured by the Canadian Universal Film Company, it must be noted also that a large non-theatrical distribution is being achieved with our films by the Pathescope Company of Toronto, who specialize in film service to schools, churches, and

various educational bodies in our own country. Twenty-two "Seeing Canada" film subjects are now available on safety-standard film, through the Pathescope Company.

Distribution in United States

A contract is now operating with the Bray Productions, Inc., New York, for the exploitation and distribution theatrically of many of the "Seeing Canada" series of films in the United States. From thirty to thirty-five prints of each subject selected by the Bray organization will be used for their United States distribution, and it is estimated that from four to five million people will see each film subject. Eight "Seeing Canada" subjects have already been

selected by Bray for early distribution.

We have also secured a nice distribution of the "Seeing Canada" films in a non-theatrical way in the United States, through showings before colleges, churches, sportsmen's associations, etc. The Canadian National Railway had two lecturers at work in the United States, addressing colleges, chambers of commerce, and various educational bodies, who used our films in their lecture work. Thousands of people of the highest type were thus reached and the story of Canada graphically told, through the medium of our films. One lecturer reports that over 27,000 people were reached in fifty-seven lectures, and our films won warm praise everywhere in the country.

Distribution in United Kingdom

Thirty-seven of the "Seeing Canada" film subjects belonging to the Bureau are still in general circulation in the United Kingdom, through a large film distributing agency in that country. Ten prints of each subject are being used, and sample prints of thirteen additional subjects were despatched to London some months ago, from which they will order the necessary number of prints for their circulation. On account of the long life of a one-reel subject of the type produced by this Bureau, a large number of film prints sent within the last year or so are still doing effective publicity work for the Dominion.

In addition to the regular theatrical distribution secured by our British connections, the Canadian National Railways undertook an aggressive publicity campaign through the medium of our films and a well-known Canadian lecturer. Jury's Imperial Pictures, Limited, our distributors, also loan our "Seeing

Canada" films to various organizations for non-theatrical exhibition.

Distribution in France, Belgium and Switzerland

In October, 1921, a contract was signed with Cinematographes Harry, Paris, France, for the distribution of the Canadian Government films in France. Belgium and Switzerland. To date this contract has been productive of good distribution results.

In March of this year five copies each of ten of our films were sent to Paris, for distribution in France, and one copy each of ten subjects sent to Belgium and Switzerland.

According to information received from our distributors for this territory, the Canadian Government "Seeing Canada" films have been well received by the general public and most favourably commented upon for their artistry, by the leading film trade papers in France.

Distribution in Australia and New Zealand

Our distributing contract made last year with Selznick Pictures Australia, Limited, has been continued. This contract calls for the distribution of four

prints each of various government films, both in Australia and New Zealand. Since April 1, 1922, twenty-four film subjects (4) copies of each, making ninety-six reels of film have been shipped, for distribution in these countries.

Distribution in South America

A film contract has been signed with Max Glucksmann, whereby they will distribute various prints of the "Seeing Canada" subjects in Argentine Republic, Chile, Paraguay and Uruguay. During the fiscal year just closed seven of our film subjects were sent from Ottawa for distribution in these four South American countries.

Distribution in Japan

A wide distribution has been given to quite a number of our films in Japan. Good distribution arrangements were made by the Canadian Government Trade Commissioner in that country, with a well-known film organization. During the fiscal year, six "Seeing Canada" film subjects were sent to our Trade Commissioner, who in turn made arrangements to have them circulated theatrically and otherwise in Japan.

Prior to this shipment fourteen other "Seeing Canada" film subjects were

widely distributed in that country.

One of the features of the recent Tokio Peace Exhibition was the showing before thousands of quite a number of Canadian Government films, which doubtless was the means of awakening keen trade interest in Canada.

Distribution in China

This country has made use of thirty of the Canadian Government films, the last shipment being sent October 25, 1921.

Distribution in Straits Settlements

Ten of the "Seeing Canada" subjects are at present being utilized for publicity purposes in the Straits Settlements.

Distribution in Hawaiian Islands

In connection with the Pan-Pacific Trade Conference which was held in Honolulu, October 26 to November 6, 1922, Mr. O'Hara, the Deputy Minister of the Department, took with him nine of the "Seeing Canada" film subjects.

Distribution in Holland

A contract has just been closed with the British and Continental Trading Company, New York, for the distribution of our films in Holland, through the Nederlandsche Bioscoop Trust of the Hague. Two prints a month are required for this circulation.

Distribution in South Africa

An arrangement has been made with the African Films Limited, Capetown, for the distribution of the "Seeing Canada" films in South Africa. One print each of twelve subjects has been forwarded to the African Films, Limited, for their South African distribution.

Pending Contracts

There are quite a number of international film distributors, with whom contracts can be entered into for the distribution of the "Seeing Canada" film subjects in foreign countries, but these contracts have not been definitely closed due to the fact that the Canadian Government film laboratory has now reached its production limit. However, with the installation of the new tank system and the efficient remodelling of the film plant, authority for this work having being secured just recently, it will mean that we will be able to still further increase the film production and be able to supply many more prints for distribution.

In Conclusion

It is generally conceded both in Government circles and in the film trade generally that the Canadian Government is well in advance of any other Government in the use of the motion picture film for motographic publicity purposes. This form of propaganda has disclosed itself as an instrument more powerful and useful and successful than any other sort of publicity. Through the medium of the film the Department of Trade and Commerce, co-operating, as it does, closely with all other federal departments in this work, is doing something of vast importance to the Dominion.

As pointed out in my last report, these films are a commercial product and as such must be distributed on a marketable basis. The two methods which

we have found to be the most successful are as follows:--

(a) A certain percentage of the revenue derived from the rental, being received by the Canadian Government;

(b) The selling of prints outright to the distributors at a margin over

cost price.

Experience shows that this is a highly satisfactory arrangement because under a system of free circulation, little or no interest is taken in the distribution and exploitation of these Canadian films, by distributors.

Still Photographic Division

The Still Photographic Division of the bureau, at the close of the last fiscal year, was enabled to report a most healthy increase in the volume of work done due, no doubt, to the reorganization of the Still Photographic Division some time ago whereby every branch of the work was placed on a systematic basis, resulting in efficient production with excellent results.

During the previous fiscal year no records were kept showing the returns to the bureau, but it was estimated at about \$1,200 for still photographic work.

During this fiscal year the Still Division produced work to the value of \$3,569.42, at an estimated cost for material of \$1,462.

Recapitulation of Production

The following recapitulation of the production of still photographic work covers the activities of the bureau for the year:—

Still photographs	9.143
Lantern slides	3,188
Negatives made	868
Enlargements made	294
Transparencies	216

In addition to the important work that has been done for the Department of Trade and Commerce and the Trade Commissioners in all parts of the world,

the extensive facilities of the laboratory have been utilized by many other departments of the Government.

The Department of Agriculture ordered 1.089 prints, 131 enlargements, 125 transparencies, 77 lantern slides, and 158 negatives, for which the bureau received \$843.22.

The Department of Immigration and Colonization ordered 2,862 prints, 64 enlargements. 1.463 lantern slides, and 135 negatives for which the bureau received \$796.69.

The Department of Marine and Fisheries ordered 1,488 prints and 461 negatives for which the bureau received \$292.95.

The Air Board (Department of Militia and Defence) ordered 367 prints, 532 lantern slides and 5 enlargements for which the bureau received \$227.56.

Work Performed for Federal Departments.

The undermentioned departments had still photographic work done by the bureau to the value noted:—

Department of Insurance	\$ 184 45
Department of Interior	26 30
Post Office Department	24 70
Civil Service Commission	11 50
Department of Railways and Canals	22 20
Soldiers Settlement Board	2 35
The Royal Mint	1 80
International Joint Commission	68 00
Province of Ontario, London, Eng	38 50
Department of Trade and Commerce	712 05
Miscellaneous	317 15

Distribution for Canadian "Still" Pictures

A very large circulation has been secured for our still photographs and the prints have proved to be sufficiently attractive that the undernoted publishers have in almost every case been pleased to pay the bureau a rental fee of \$1 per print (covered above under miscellaneous). These publishers have been reproducing our prints regularly, and the number is increasing every month:—

The British & Colonial Press, Ltd., Toronto (supplying over 100 Canadian and British publishers with our prints).

International Newsreel Corporation, New York.

Nederlandsche Bioscoop Trust, Holland.

Pan-Pacific Union Magazine, Hawaii.

Evening Star, Washington.

Carty News and Publicity Service, London.

Buffalo Evening News, Buffalo.

Pacific and Atlantic Photos, Inc., New York.

Popular Science Monthly, New York.

National Geographic Society, Washington.

The Globe. Toronto.

The Journal, Ottawa.

Detroit Free Press, Detroit.

Presbyterian Publications, Philadelphia.

Methodist Publications, Toronto.

Canadian Farmer, Toronto.

Canadian Forestry Journal, Ottawa.

MacLean's Magazine, Toronto.

The co-operation of the Still Photographic Division with the Canadian Trade Commissioners is developing into considerable importance and during the period covered by this report the bureau has supplied 1,994 prints, 61 enlargements, 78 transparencies, and 183 lantern slides, to Trade Commissioners.

The bureau has also prepared a lantern slide lecture entitled "Across Canada—The National Way," and a large distribution is already arranged.

In Conclusion

In conclusion it may be added that the equipment of the photographic laboratory is up-to-date, the workmanship is efficient and in view of the progress made during the past fiscal year the Still Photographic Division will be able to render a larger and better service to the Department of Trade and Commerce in particular, and the various Government departments in general, owing to the regular increase from month to month in the demands made upon the facilities of the bureau.

BOARD OF GRAIN COMMISSIONERS FOR CANADA

In the Prairie Provinces another bumper crop of wheat was harvested in 1922, amounting to 375,194,000 bushels, which while exceeding all previous records for volume of production, yet did not reach the yield per acre of the 1915 crop. The fall of 1921 was characterized by persistent wet weather, continuing from August right through to October, damaging a great deal of the 1921 crops out in the field. At the same time it was not heavy precipitation and a very large acreage was prepared by fall ploughing which, added to the summerfallowing of a larger acreage than ever before, gave a total of 16,468,578 acres ready for the following year's crop. The winter was a fairly mild one but cold weather continued almost to the end of April, delaying the commencement of seeding. Early in May the temperature rose rapidly and grain grew very fast. The abundant moisture in the soil proved sufficient until the critical period was reached and showers, continuing intermittently, assured a record crop. Fine weather, with very light precipitation, continued until winter set in, enabling the crops to be harvested in ideal condition.

Throughout the fall the marketing at country points was unprecedentedly Leavy. The railways had expected an enormous volume to be moved and every facility was requisitioned, with the result that the movement to the head of the lakes was effected without congestion or a serious delay of any kind. The elevators at Fort William and Port Arthur, possessing facilities for unloading greater than those of the railways for hauling in the grain, handled all receipts

with the greatest dispatch.

At Georgian Bay and lower lake ports and at Montreal the facilities were taxed to the utmost. During the summer large quantities of United States grain were shipped to these ports for storage in readiness for export overseas through Montreal. A great deal of this grain had not been sold and occupied storage space in the meantime. At the time the Canadian crops began to move a large proportion of the elevator storage capacity was thus occupied and grave fears arose as to congestion occurring at these ports causing a stoppage of shipments from Fort William-Port Arthur which would eventually extend to the railways. Happily, every effort was made by the Harbour Commissioners at Montreal to give ocean tonnage the quickest possible dispatch and whilst preventing serious congestion the port at the same time exceeded all previous records for grain handling during the season.

The movement of such an enormous volume of grain brings out very definitely the extent of the facilities existing at different points in the handling and transportation systems. Two outstanding features at once become evident: (1) The speed at which delivery is effected at the scaboard, depending entirely upon the demand from overseas for the grain, decides the amount which can be moved out of the country. (2) In view of this fact are the existing facilities amply sufficient to take care of a large crop should the overseas demand be delayed

until the winter season (as in 1921) or so small as to be out of all proportion

to the exportable surplus.

During the crop year 1921-22 the total quantity of United States grain received by Canadian elevators east of lake Superior amounted to 184,226,244 bushels of all grains. Receipts of Canadian grain at the same ports totalled 183,809,662 bushels of all grains. Much of this grain appears at two different points such as a Georgian bay port and Montreal. Actually, approximately ninety-three million bushels of United States grain were received for transportation via Canadian routes as compared to approximately one hundred and thirty million bushels of Canadian grain handled over the same routes. On the other hand approximately one hundred and ten million bushels of Canadian grain were shipped via United States transportation routes in addition to what was imported by that country.

In the crop year 1921-22 Vancouver assumed an important place in the marketing and movement of grain. Prior to that time handlings of grain had been very small. The failure of the rice crop in the Orient in 1921 forced Japan to buy large quantities of wheat. Exporters, finding tonnage and wheat available commenced to develop export business with Europe also shipping via the Panama canal. During the crop-year shipments of wheat from the Govern-

ment elevator were as follows:-

To United Kingdom	3, 506, 420 bushels
To Europe	
To Orient	2,233,500
Total	6,401,678 "

Whilst in addition 978,371 bushels were shipped from the Vancouver Milling and Grain Company's elevator and the Government interior elevators

at Calgary and Saskatoon; all of which was destined to the Orient.

This season shipments from the Government elevator are already more than double those of the last crop year in the first seven months (ending March 31, 1923), as follows:—

	Wheat	Rye
To United Kingdom To Europe To Orient	9,498,589 bushels 1,324,818 " 1,315,955 "	173,863 bushels 8,000 "
Total	12,139,362 bushels	181,863 bushels

Additional elevator facilities are now being provided and efforts made to induce the farmers of Alberta to ship their grain via Vancouver instead of Fort William-Port Arthur.

The number of licenses issued during the present crop year to date shows an increase over all previous years. Comparative figures for the past three years follow:—

Licenses issued	1920-21	1921-22	1922–23
Country elevators. Other elevators. Track buyers. Commission merchants. Primary grain dealers.	3,687 54 144 117 2	3,677 53 132 110 3	3,814 64 113 100 6
Totals	4,004	3,957	4,097

The total quantities of principal grains handled at the Government interior terminal elevators at Calgary, Moose Jaw and Saskatoon and the Government public terminal elevator at Vancouver during the crop year 1921-22, as compared with the previous crop year, are as follows:—

RECEIPTS

	1920–21	1921–22
Wheat Oats Barley Flavseed Rye	6,642,941 bushels 7,902,990 163,704 49,065 35,876	11, 335, 162 bushels 2, 928, 623 152, 462 102, 384 50, 023

The total quantities of principal grains handled by the Government public terminal elevator at Port Arthur during the crop year 1921-22, as compared with the previous crop year, are as follows:—

RECEIPTS

		1920-21	1921–22	
Wheat Oats Barley Flaxseed Rye		4,053,166 bushels 5,178,233 " 759,323 " 1,489,167 " 254,847 "	8,697,769 bushels 3,077,770 " 735,282 785,435 672,360 "	

Country elevator handlings during the crop year 1921-22 showed a very marked increase in all grains as compared with the total handlings during the crop year 1920-21, except in the case of oats and flaxseed:—

RECEIPTS

	1920–21	1921-22	
Wheat Oats Barley. Flaxseed Rye	172, 308, 638 bushels 71, 052, 245 " 12, 540, 294 " 5, 590, 132 " 2, 431, 156 "	227, 315, 371 bushels 66, 671, 841 13, 557, 663 3, 697, 327 4, 947, 232	

For the seven months ending March 31:-

(1) Number of Cars Inspected in the Western Grain Inspection Division

1922	Wheat 152,077 194,319	Oats 22,397 16,848	7,320 9,774	Flaxseed 1,706 2,556	Rye 2,489 6,853
	Amount in	bushels			
	193, 898, 175 252, 614, 700	44,794,000 34,546,000	10,248,000 14,416,650	1,876,600 2,875,500	3, 173, 475 9, 251, 550

(2) RECEIPTS AT FORT WILLIAM AND PORT ARTHUR ELEVATORS:-

	Wheat	Oats	Barley	Flaxseed	Rye
	bush.	bush.	bush.	bush.	bush.
1922 1923	158, 368, 330 211, 737, 385	25, 962, 692 17, 277, 412	8,042,803 12,212,025	1,605,761 2,273,236	3,086,586 9,319,325

(3) SHIPMENTS FROM FORT WILLIAM AND PORT ARTHUR ELEVATORS:—

	Wheat	Oats	Barley	Flaxseed	Rye
	bush.	bush.	bush.	bush.	bush.
1922 1923	128, 210, 159 184, 055, 672	21,808,585 12,834,892	6,578,111 9,275,202	2,407,780 2,155,219	2,384,999 7,426,522

(4) Average Monthly Prices for Spot Grain—Basis in Store Fort William-Port Arthur Elevators —in cents per bushel

	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Jan.	Feb.	March
Wheat— 1921–22	cts. 148 ¹ / ₈ 99 ⁷ / ₈	cts. 115 ¹ / ₂ 100 ³ / ₄	cts. 110 ⁷ / ₈ 109 ³ / ₄	cts. 113\frac{3}{8} 109\frac{3}{8}	cts. 114½ 108§	cts. 133 ³ / ₄ 111	cts. 140 § 112 §
Oats 1921-22 1922-23 Barley	471	41½ 43¾	431 473	43 46 7 8	43 1 46 7 46 8	48 ⁷ / ₈ 48 ³ / ₈	49 ⁵ / ₈ 49 ⁷ / ₈
1921-22	70 55½	$56\frac{7}{8}$ $52\frac{1}{2}$	567 538	55 55 ¹ ₈	54 ³ / ₂ 54 ¹ / ₂	$61\frac{3}{8}$ $55\frac{3}{8}$	64 ⁷ / ₈ 55 ¹ / ₄
1921-22	$\frac{200\frac{7}{8}}{202\frac{3}{3}}$	178 ³ / ₅ 212 ⁵ / ₈	173 2083	1727 2075	1803 2153	$225\frac{1}{4}$ $234\frac{3}{8}$	234 ¹ / ₂ 243 ¹ / ₂
1921–22	1113 685	\$9 ¹ / ₈ 71 ³ / ₈	84½ 83¼	\$65 \$17 8	S13 S03	98 ³ / ₄ 81 ¹ / ₂	103\frac{3}{8} 79\frac{1}{2}

ELECTRICITY AND GAS INSPECTION SERVICES

Mr. O. Higman, Director of the Electricity and Gas Inspection Services,

reports as follows:--

The returns for the fiscal year which ended on March 31, last show a decrease in the number of meters tested as compared with the fiscal year 1921-22. This was due mainly to the general depletion experienced in all industries, there being fewer new meters offered for verification than in the year previous.

The total number of electricity meters tested during the fiscal year 1922-23 amounted to 209.842, gas meters during the same period 100.180, making a combined total of 310.022. The total number of both classes of meters tested

during the previous year amounted to 337,009.

The amount of revenue accrued from the inspection fees was:—

Electricity inspection			81 50
Total		\$ 204,420	31

The expenditure for the fiscal year 1922-23 was as follows:-

Electricity and Gas	Salaries	116,915 64
		39,551 12
and the second s		11,402 40
T	otal	167,869 16

This would indicate a surplus of \$36,551.15 on the year's operations without making deductions for the Ottawa laboratory staff and office accommodation throughout the Dominion.

The Exportation of Electrical Energy

The total amount of electric power exported to the United States during the fiscal year was 1.054.872.585 k.w.h., as against 861.567,183 k.w.h. for the previous year, showing an increase of 193,305,402 k.w.h. This does not imply that the licensed quantities were exceeded during the fiscal year 1922-23, for in no instance did any exporting company exceed the authorized amount, but that the demand for power in the United States fell off considerably during the year 1921 and came back to more normal conditions during 1922.

With regard to the general policy of the export of electrical energy from Canada, and more particularly in respect of recent discussions that have taken place as to the desirability of cutting off the export altogether, the paragraph on the subject contained in our 1920 report might with advantage be repeated. It is as follows:—

"There are eleven hydro-electric companies at present engaged in the export of electrical energy to the United States under conditions as to quantities and terms similar to those that obtained prior to the war. With regard to the demand made by certain of the municipalities that the export of power be reduced in order that an increased supply be made available for Canadian users, it may be stated that on former occasions when the question of cutting-off the export of electric power has been raised interested parties in the United States have pointed out that should the export be discontinued by Canada the question of the export of coal from the United States to Canada would also have to be considered, and discontinuance in the one case would no doubt be followed by similar action in the other".

It may be stated that the policy in the past has been not to permit hydroclectric companies to export more than 50 per cent of the generating capacity of the plant, the other 50 per cent being retained for Canadian users.

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES

It is congratulatory to report that the improved financial position of the Weights and Measures service, which is in charge of Director E. O. Way, noted last year has been maintained and even improved upon for the fiscal year ended March 31 last; notably in the reduction of expenses.

The total revenue collected for 1922-23 amounted to \$278,259.04, as against \$272,137.02 for 1921-22, showing an increase of \$6,122.02. The total expenditure amounted to \$302.341.44, as against \$313,982.04, showing a decrease of \$11,641.60.

A gain of \$17.763.62 has therefore been made against the deficit, which this year stands at \$24,082.40, as against \$41,845.02 last year.

62655 - 4

If the expense item of \$22,286.33, cost of living bonus, be deducted, the administrative deficit is reduced to \$1.796.07, which brings the service very near to its goal of being self supporting, a result upon which all the officers of the service are to be congratulated.

The following statement gives the annual figures for this service for the past fifteen years. Weights and Measures has never been self-supporting and must not be regarded as a revenue service, but the tremendous increase in the deficit following upon the war, classification, and the introduction of the cost of living bonus, demanded that something be done to adjust matters. Upon the recommendation of the director, inspection fees were increased in 1920 by Order in Council and the period of inspection made annual instead of biennial as formerly. Efficiency has been improved by the amalgamation of the three smaller Weights and Measures districts of Pictou, Kingston and Nelson with adjoining districts. The staff has been consistently reduced by the non-filling of many position as officers have died or retired, and the figures for the last three years clearly show the improvement thus accomplished.

STATEMENT showing number of Inspectors, Revenue and Expenditure for the Weights and Measures Service for the past fifteen years

	1			
Fiscal Year	Number of Inspectors	Expenditure	Revenue	Deficit
1908-09. 1909-10. 1910-11. 1911-12. 1912-13. 1913-14. 1914-15. 1915-16. 1916-17. 1917-18. 1918-19.	121 124 129 141 171 164 174 221 207 176 139	104,255 67 110,281,62 117,062 15 124,253 13 131,344 71 144,989 97 164,604 93 181,113 86 188,086 60 211,060 39 204,159 00 25,726 89 Bonus	80,287 05 92,789 30 98,427 39 107,686 01 100,696 52 113,862 40 105,974 95 112,240 11 131,625 60 141,389 40	18,634 76 16,567 12 30,648 19 31,127 57 58,629 98 68,873 75 56,461 00
1919-20	127	229,885 89 226,851 82 45,663 54 Bonus	136,497 80	93,388 09
1920 21	124	272,515 36 268,153 35 38,932 73 Bonus	149,473 43	123,041 93
1921-22	120	307,076 08 286,358 58 27,623 46 Bonus	267,105 62	39,970 46
1922-23	119	313,982 04 280,055 11 22,286 33 Bonus	272,137 02	41,845 02
		302,341 44	278,259 04	24,082 40

The number of articles inspected total 592,498, an increase of 29,411 over last year, but 22,446 of these were pieces of Babcock glassware used in the dairy industry for the butter-fat test.

Following is a summary statement by groups:--

	Submitted	Rejected	Verified
Weights	1.076 tie) 126,558	339 7 56	93,050 1,069 126,502
Measures of length Domes Milk cans Ice cream packers		44	9,533 86,494 39,740
Babcock glassware . Gasoline pumps etc Weighing machines(Metric)	57,079 20,703 157,224	1,083 859 7,566	55,996 19,844 149,658 572
.,	592,498	9,966	582,532

During the year, two hundred seizures have been made of incorrect, false and illegal measures, etc., an increase of seventy-six (76) over last year. Ten offenders were prosecuted, convictions being secured in nine cases, whilst one case was settled out of Court, fines amounting to \$148 being collected.

Compared with other countries, Canadian Weights and Measures prosecutions are very limited. But our population is not dense and only in a very few cases is the element of wilful fraud present, whilst in a great many, the

seizure of the machine alone is adequate penalty.

Another explanation is that most cases of short weight discovered by Weights and Measures are referred for action to other departments under specified legislation. For instance, short weight in butter is referred to the Department of Agriculture under the Dairy Industry Act, short weight in package goods being referred to the Department of Health under the Food and Drugs Act.

During the year the service has lost two officers. District Inspector Thos. Parker of Nelson, B.C., who retired under the Calder Retirement Act last June, and District Inspector James Barry of St. John, who died March 29 at the completion of the fiscal year. Mr. Barry was an officer of the old school, serious, painstaking, conscientious to a fault. His passing is greatly regretted.

The Nelson District, being the most unremunerative in the service, has been abolished and the territory amalgamated with that of Vancouver District, which now comprises the whole of British Columbia, excepting the Yukon,

which is attended to by the Mounted Police.

The Metric System

Before closing this section of my report a few remarks might properly

be made with regard to the Metric System.

There is a prominent school of thought always advocating the compulsory introduction of the Metric System, yet manufacturers and traders, who are

most concerned, seem to give the matter but little attention.

There is no doubt that great advantage would ensue if there were world uniformity in weights and measures. International trade, exports and imports would be greatly facilitated, but two of the greatest powers, the United States and the British Empire, are not metric, and the problem to be considered is the cost and confusion that would result should the change to the metric system be attempted.

It is claimed that some thirty-four countries are metric, but this is only true in so far as these countries have passed metric legislation. In most of

 $62655 - 4\frac{1}{2}$

them, except the central powers of Europe, the national or customary weights and measures are still largely used side by side with the metric weights and measures. Such is the case more or less in the whole of South America and Mexico, where Spanish weights and measures are still largely used. Japan is metric, but compulsory use is to be confined to export trade as from 1925. It is clear then that it is not easy to change the weights and measures system of a country, and it is equally clear that the tremendous industrialization and standardization of British American industry must make the matter even more difficult, costly and complicated.

On the other hand, international trade could be greatly simplified by

voluntary initiative.

The metric system is legal in the United States and the Empire. Why should not liquid commodities be packed by the "litre" instead of by the pint and quart, and thus solve the troublesome conflict in the difference between the United States wine measure and Imperial measure and have such package goods

correctly packed for any metric market in the world?

Another suggestion is to express all consignments of goods by weight by the "pound"—discarding even the ton. The relation between the pound and the kilogramme is such (1 kg. = 2.204 pounds) that conversions are easy. Pounds can be converted into kilogrammes by halving the number of pounds and then subtracting one-tenth. If shipments are being made to metric countries, this process can be and should be applied before shipment, and invoices made out in kilogrammes.

Canada could not adopt the metric system until both England and the United States took the same step, but there is no reason why such advantages as the metric system offers in solving international trade difficulties should not be adopted voluntarily to the full, even though the advantages of enforcing the system generally in home industry are a matter of grave doubt and concern.

BINDER TWINE

Mr. J. C. Waddell, Inspector of Binder Twine, reports that the binder twine trade during the past year has been more satisfactory in many ways than for some years past.

The price of the raw material, manilla and sisal fibre, which is a great factor in the manufacture of binder twine, has been very much lower, being fully a third less in price than in 1921. This has been a source of gratification

both to the manufacturer and the consumer of binder twine.

This feature of the twine trade can be more readily understood when it is taken into account that there were over 17,000 tons of twine manufactured during the year by the twine mills in Canada, so that on that amount of tonnage the lower price meant easier financing to the manufacturer, and a great saving to the user of the twine.

With the increased acreage under cultivation the demand for binder twine is greater each year, and the past year has been one of the best as regards

output.

At different periods the twine at all the factories was inspected and at all the principal points of distribution, and the twine was found to be of good quality, and up to the full standard of Government regulations.

The total amount of twine manufactured for the calendar year 1922 amounted to 31,864,609 pounds, with a selling value at the factory or works of

\$3,483,352.

The firms manufacturing twine in Canada are as follows: Brantford Cordage Co., Brantford, Ont.; Consumers Cordage Co., Montreal, Que.; Consumers Cordage Co., Dartmouth, N.S.; Canada Western Cordage Co., New Westminster, B.C.; Plymouth Cordage Co., Welland, Ont.

CRUDE PETROLEUM

Mr. J. C. Waddell, who is also Inspector of Crude Petroleum Bounty Claims, reports that during a number of years past the old Lambton oil-field has been maintaining its usual production and the oil districts, namely Petrolia, Enniskillen. Oil Springs, Moore Township, Sarnia Township, and Bothwell, have changed but very little in this regard during that period. This is a remarkable showing as it has always been considered by oil experts that when the drill stopped, there would be a rapid decline in the production. This not having been the case, it shows the stability of the old oil-field, which has now been producing oil since the year 1862.

The same cannot be said of some of the other fields, as there has been a falling-off in the oil production in Moza and Raleigh township, and in West

Dover district.

STATEMENT OF CRUDE PETROLEUM PRODUCTION BY BARRELS IN WESTERN ONTARIO

April	04 2 2						
June 5.431 July 4.854 August 6.474 September 5.100 October 5.620 November 5.975 December 5.358 January 4.900 February 4.458 March 5.139	06 4.0 27 2.9 01 4.0 00 3.3 22 5.1 06 2.4 13 3.9 07 5.7 34 2.4 22 2.4	99 23 88 29 86 24 96 30 88 02 86 17 21 29 89 15 83 23 14 21 82 14 94 21	216 34	366 20 696 14 469 02 512 20 753 22 486 00 1,205 33 605 13 545 16 310 26 136 21 728 26	661 06 260 33 74 01 253 15 549 18 56 11 312 26 572 30 218 25 105 34 73 24 124 18	1.553 34 2.365 30 891 16 3.826 02 1.633 33 2.445 12 2.588 22 2.294 03 1.674 34 2.516 24 2.010 16 2.321 11	126 32 151 08 237 23 515 28/35

	West Dover	Raleigh	Dutton	Onondaga	Belle River	Moza Township	Thames- ville	Totals
April May June July August September October November December January February March	595 29 1,559 28 471 23 227 06 224 19 849 19 131 11 1,417 24 631 05	256 12 141 34 127 27 137 11	140 26	182 20		846 10 1.064 00 862 15 1.521 28 1.413 33 1.031 26 963 21 845 18 741 22 820 19 470 22 1.061 09	240 29 142 25	12,162 05/35 15,990 29/35 12,659 05/35 15,714 24/35 14,580 02/35 14,666 21/35 13,580 06/35 15,657 33/35 14,529 03/35 14,529 03/35 12,803 10/35 12,803 10/35 13,625 26/35

OIL PRODUCTION—1922

STATEMENT OF THE OIL PRODUCTION BY DISTRICTS FOR THE MONTH AND BOUNTY PAID THEREON APRIL

Districts	Barrels	Bounty paid
Petrolia and Enniskillen	5,289 24/35 3,399-23/35	_
Dawn Township Moore Township Sarnia Township Plympton Township Bothwell Tilbury Last	366 20/35 661 06/35 44 28/35 1,553 34/35	347 11 23 52
West Dover Raleigh Township Dutton Onondaga		
Belle River	846 10/35	
	12,162 05/35	\$6,385 11
MAY		
Petrolia and Enniskillen		-
Dawn Township Moore Township Sarnia Tonwship Plympton Township Bothwell Tilbury East West Dover	696 14/35 260 33/35 20 32/35 2,365 30/35 126 32/35 595 29/35	137 00 10 98 1,242 08 66 63
Raleigh Township Dutton Onondaga	256 12/35 139 22/35	134 58
Belle River Moza Township Thamesville	1,064 00/35	558 60
	15,990 29/35	\$8,395 12
JUNE		
Petrolia and Enniskillen Oil Springs Dawn Township Moore Township Sarnia Township Plympton Township Bothwell	5,431 27/35 2,986 24/35 216 34/35 469 02/35 74 01/35 24 34/35 891 16/35	1,567 97 113 91 246 25 38 86
Tilbury East	1,559 28/35 141 34/35	818 89 74 54
Belle River	862 15/35	452 77
	12,659 05/35	\$6,645 99

OIL PRODUCTION-1922-Continued

STATEMENT OF THE OIL PRODUCTION BY DISTRICTS FOR THE MONTH AND BOUNTY PUD THERE NOC. .

JULY

Districts	Barrels	Bounty paid
Petrolia and Enniskillen		
Dawn Township	512 20/35 253 15/35	
Plympton Township Bothwell	124 26/35 3,826 02/35	65
Tilbury East	471 23/35	247
Outton	143 19/35	75
Belle River	1,521 28/35	
	15,714 24/35	\$8,250
AUGUST		
Petrolia and Enniskillen	6,474 00/35 3,338 02/35	
Dawn Township Loore Township arnia Township	753 22/35 549 18/35	
lympton Township		32
ilbury East	227 06/35	119
elle River	1.413 33/35 127 27/35	
	14,580 02/35	\$7,654
SEPTEMBER		
etrolia and Enniskillen	5,100 22/35 5,186 17/35	_
ocre Township arnia Township	486 00/35 56 11/35	
lympton Township othwell ilbury East	2,445 12/35	1,283
aleigh Township	224 19/35	117
nondagaelle River	135 19/35	71
loza Township Lamesville	1,031 26/35	541
		\$7,699

OIL PRODUCTION-1922-Continued

STATEMENT OF THE OIL PRODUCTION BY DISTRICTS FOR THE MONTH AND BOUNTY PAID THERFON—Con.

OCTOBER

OCIOBER		
Districts	Barrels	Bounty paid
Petrolia and Enniskillen	5,620 06/35 2,421 29/35	\$ cts. \$2,950 60 1,271 44
Moore Township Sarnia Township Plympton Township Bothwell Tilbury East	1,205 33/35 312 26/35 89 04 35 2,588 22/35	633 12 164 18 46 78 1,359 04
West Dover Raleigh Township Dutton Onondaga		72 09
Belle River	963 21/35 240 29/35	
	13,580 06/35	\$7,129 57
NOVEMBER		
Petrolia and Enniskillen Oil Springs Dawn Township	5,975 13/35 3,989 15/35	3,137 06 2,094 40
Moore Township. Sarnia Township. Plympton Township. Bothwell.	605 13/35 572 30/35 59 26/35 2,294 03/35	317 80 300 74 31 36
Tilbury East	849 19/35	446 00
Raleigh Township Dutton Onondaga Belle River	140 26/35 182 20/35	
Moza Township Thamesville	845 18/35 142 25/35	443 89
	15,657 33/35	\$8,220 31
DECEMBER	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Pretolia and Enniskillen Oil Springs Dawn Township	5,358 07/35 5,733 23/35	3,010 14
Moore Township. Sarnia Township. Plympton Township. Bothwell. Tilbury East.	545 16/35 218 25/35 125 05 35 1,674 34/35	114 82 65 70 879 36
West Dover	131 11/35	68 94
Onondaga		
Moza Township Thamesville	741 22/35	389 35
	14,529 03/35	\$7,627 74
JANUARY		
Petrolia and Enniskillen	4,900 34/35 2,414 21/35	
Dawn Township. Moore Township. Sarnia Township. Plympton Township. Bothwell. Tilbury East.		163 14 55 63 20 38 1,321 25 79 40

OIL PRODUCTION—1922—Continued STATEMENT OF THE OIL PRODUCTION BY DISTRICTS FOR THE MONTH AND BOUNTY PAID THEREON—Con.

JANUARY-Continued

JANUARY—Continued		
Districts	Barrels	Bounty paid
	1 11= 01 0*	\$ cts
West Dover	1, 117 24 35	744 28
Dutton	1	
Onondaga		
Belle River	820 19/35	430.78
Thamesville	126 01/35	66 16
	12,803 10/35	\$6,721 67
FEBRUARY		
Petrolia and Enniskillen	4,458 22/35	\$2,340 79
Oil Springs	2,482 14/35	1,303 24
Moore Township	136 21/35	71 72
Sarnia Township	73 24/35	38 68
Plympton Township	78 14/35	41 16
Bothwell	2,010 16/35 237 23/35	1,055 50 124 77
West Dover	631 05/35	~~~
Raleigh Township.		
Dutton	174 07 (05	
Onondaga Belle River		91 44
Moza Township	470 22/35	247 08
Thamesville		
	10,753 26/35	\$5,545 73
	10,100 20,00	20,010 10
MARCH		
Petrolia and Enniskillen	5,138 24/35	\$2,697 80
Oil Springs	3,804 21/35	
Dawn Township Moore Township	700 00/05	. 200 50
Sarnia Township	728 26/35 124 18/35	
Plympton Township	69 11/35	
Bothwell	2,321 11/35	
Tilbury East		
West Dover Raleigh Township.	377 11/35	198 09
Dutton		
Onondaga		
Belle River		
Moza Township Thamesville	1,061 09/35	557 16
I mames vine		
	13,625 26/35	\$7,153 49
TOTALS 1923		
Petrolia and Enniskillen	65,017 11/35	6,385 11
Oil Springs. Dawn Township	216 34/35	8,39512 $6,64599$
Moore Township	6,817 03/35	
Sarnia Township	3,263 31/35	
Plympton Township	738 00/35	
Bothwell	26,122 27/35	
Tilbury East	515 28/35 6,486 00/35	
Raleigh Township	663 14/35	
Dutton	280 13/35	5,645 73
Onondaga	635 28/35	7,153 49
Belle River Moza Township	11 642 12/25	
Moza Township Thamesville	11,045 15/35	n
	166,723 15/35	\$87,529 27

GOLD AND SILVER MARKING ACT

For the year ending March 31, 1923, Mr. W. J. Ryan, Inspector, reports that owing to section 10 of the Gold and Silver Marking Act of 1913 our manufacturers of gold-filled jewellery are not encouraged to make a line of goods of superior quality, neither does it protect the retail trade from unfair competition with inferior goods, or the public from being misled with fictitiously marked goods.

Two of the largest manufacturers of gold-filled jewellery were induced to locate in Canada after the adoption of the Gold and Silver Marking Act of 1908. Section 13 of this Act stipulated how gold-filled jewellery should be marked, thereby encouraging the manufacturer to make a better line and to put his name and mark of quality on same with the idea of building up a name for

his product and for goods "Made in Canada".

Section 10 of the present Act prohibits the manufacturer of gold-filled goods to put a mark of quality on his product, and says that all gold-filled

goods must bear the same mark "Gold-filled" regardless of quality.

Under the Act of 1908, Mr. Ryan found it necessary to lay information against twenty-five dealers, among them several catalogue and mail order houses, for misrepresenting the quality of gold-filled jewellery; but he reports that since the Act was amended in 1913 he had no occasion to lay information against any dealer, though they are handling the same quality of goods, for the reason that section 10 of the amended Act permits a dealer to handle the most inferior line of gold-filled goods and represent them in the same manner as the dealer who handles the best. Consequently, section 10 has ceased to function and as a result the manufacturer who is endeavouring to build up a reputation with the better quality of goods is deprived of the fruits of his labour, and the public, he believes, are not adequately protected.

The redeeming features of the Gold and Silver Marking Act of Canada are sections 8 and 9. Section 8 deals with articles of solid gold, and section 9, with those of sterling silver; in both these sections we emulate the practice of Great Britain, who for years has had a Marking Act dealing only with the marking of gold and silver, and by which she has built up a trade for such wares that has earned her a world-wide reputation for quality. If our manufacturers of gold and silver would make their motto "Made in Canada" synonymous with quality, they would win the confidence of the public in their

product and enjoy the trade to which they are justly entitled.

Apart from sections 8 and 9, the manufacturers of gold and silver articles in Canada are amply protected by the Criminal Code under the "Fraudulent Marking of Merchandise Act", chapter 146, part VII, section 335. This Act in the Criminal Code gives the manufacturer greater protection than the Gold and Silver Marking Act owing to the more severe penalty imposed on the offender.

During the year there were five convictions under section 8 and 9 of the Gold and Silver Marking Act, two under section 8, and three under section 9. The offenders were one working jeweller, two retail jewellers, and two departmental stores.

Fifty assays were made by the Royal Mint, the cost of which was defrayed by the Department of Trade and Commerce. The fines were remitted to the Receiver General as prescribed in section 17 of the Act.

FIRST PAN-PACIFIC COMMERCIAL CONFERENCE

The undersigned begs to refer, for the purposes of record, to the First Pan-Pacific Commercial Conference, which opened at Honolulu on October

26 last, which I had the honour to attend as the representative of the Canadian Government.

The conference was held under the auspices of the Pan-Pacific Union. This is an organization representing the Governments of all the Pacific lands, with which are affiliated Chambers of Commerce, and kindred bodies, working for the advancement of Pacific States and communities, and for a greater co-operation among and between the people of all races in Pacific lands.

While the object of the Union is for the purpose of discussing and furthering the interests common to Pacific nations, the chief benefit sought is the bringing of all nations and peoples about the Pacific ocean into closer friendly and

commercial contact and relationship.

Two other conferences had already been held under the auspices of the Pan-Pacific Union, the first being a scientific conference; the second was devoted to matters relating to the press.

While a formal report was published and widely distributed, it may not be amiss to quote the closing paragraphs of that report, which read as follows:—

"As to the results of the conference, the views expressed by some of the delegates have already been included in the report. It is difficult to add to them. The resolutions adopted by the conference are the result of the earnest consideration of men—many of whom are prominent leaders at home in thought and business—of many races and creeds, representing eighteen countries. The one impressive thought throughout was the harmonious relations which existed at all times. No shadow of political or other influence appeared. National prejudices were swept away. Lasting friendships were made, and the delegates from the various countries exchanged information freely upon many matters which, though not upon the agenda, were of mutual interest and value to the countries concerned.

"Those who were privileged to attend the conference as delegates met their confrères without a shadow of reserve; and in the discussions and meetings attending the every day work of that assembly they found kindred spirits with the same high aspirations, the same desire to be regarded as friends, and above all and at all costs the same desire for lasting peace and good will.

"In conclusion, therefore, the undersigned begs to express the opinion that considering the conference in its widest sense, the Pan-Pacific Commercial Conference at Honolulu was the most important conference which has ever been held for the mutual advancement of peace

and prosperity in Pacific lands."

Respectfully submitted,

F. C. T. O'HARA,

Deputy Minister.



INDEX

	PAGE
Agricultural Statistics Branch, Bureau of Statistics	
Algeria—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Anglo-Portuguese Treaty	
Argentine Republic—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Assistant Trade Commissioners	20
Australia—distribution of motion pictures in	
Austria-Hungary—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Belgian Treaty	
Belgium—Canada's tariff arrangements with	32
Distribution of motion pictures in	41 52
Binder Twine Inspection	
Bolivia—Canada's tariff arrangements with	28-29
British Empire—Tariff changes within	25
British and foreign tariff legislation	
British India—Canada's tariff arrangements with	-4-
British Preferential Tariff	
British West Indies—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Bureau of Statistics (see also Dominion Bureau of Statistics)	
Canada—	9
Export trade expansion	
General Tariff	5 27
Imports and Exports of	7-8
Offer of reciprocity to the United Kingdom	
Reciprocal Tariff of 1897	28 27
Trade of by main groups	11
Trade of by principal countries	14
Trade relations as affected by the war	33
Trade with the United Kingdom	
Trade with the United States	-
Canadian Tariff of 1907	31
Canadian Government Motion Picture Bureau	39
Canadian West Indian Trade Agreement	
Cape of Good Hope—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Chile—Canada's tariff arrangements with	28
China—Distribution of motion pictures in	42
Colombia—Canada's arrangements tariff with	28-29 58
Commercial Conference—Pan Pacific	20
Special Reports of	
Convention—Franco-Canadian 1907	31 - 34
Correspondence—Negligence in Canadian	23 28
Costa Rica—Canada's tariff arrangements with. Courses—Extension, in export trade	22
Criminal Statistics	19
Crude Petroleum—	e 1 e 2
Production by districts	54-57 53
Production in Western Ontario	53
Cyprus—Canada's tariff arrangements with	35
Demography Branch, Bureau of Statistics	19
Denmark—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29 23
Directories—Exporters and Importers. Dominion Bureau of Statistics.	19
Education Branch—Bureau of Statistics	19
Electricity and Gas Inspection	48
Elevators, Government—Grain handled at	47
Expenditure, departmental Expenditure and Revenue, Weights and Measures Service.	
Exportation of electrical energy	49
Exporters Directories	23
Exports of Canadian commodities	8-15
Extension courses in export trade	22 19
External Trade Branch—Bureau of Statistics. Fiji—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Films-Motion picture	

62

14 GEORGE V, A. 1924

	PAGE
Flour—Entry of Canadian into United States	
Foreign tariff legislation	24_38
Foreign Tariffs Division—Commercial Intelligence Service	94
Foreign trade of Canada 1922-23.	5
Foreign trade of Canada 1922–23. France—Distribution of motion pictures in	41
" Modus vivendi with	35-36
Franco-Canadian Trade Convention 1907	31-34
French Treaty	31-35
Gas and Electricity Inspection	. 48
General manufactures—Summary statistics of	19
German General Tariff. German goods—Surtax on	30
German goods—Surtax on	30
German Treaty	27-30
German Treaty of Peace	34
Germany—Canada's tariff arrangements with	30 - 32
Gold and Silver Marking Act	58
Grain handled at Government Elevators	47
Great Britain—Canada's tariff arrangements with	27-35
Hawaiian Islands—Distribution of motion pictures in	42
Holland—Canada's tariff arrangements with	32
Distribution of motion pictures in	42
Importers Directories	23
Imports into Canada for Consumption	7
India, British—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29
Internal Trade Branch—Bureau of Statistics	19
Ireland—Canada's tariff arrangements with	28
Italy—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Jamaica—Trade Agreement with Canada	36
Japan—Distribution of motion pictures in	42
Tariff arrangements with Canada	
Japanese Conventional Rates	31
Japanese Treaty Act	33
Junior Trade Commissioners	21
Liberia—Canada's tariff arrangements with.	29
Licenses issued by Board of Grain Commissioners for Canada	46
Manufactures, general—Summary statistics of	19
Metric system	51
Mining Statistics Branch—Bureau of Statistics	19
	35–36
Morocco—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29
Most-favoured-nation treatment—Foreign countries granted	28
Motion Picture Bureau	39
Motion Picture Films	22
Muscat—Canada's tariff arrangements with	28
Natal—Canada's tariff arrangements with	30
Negligence in correspondence in Canada	
Netherlands—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
New South Wales—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
New Zealand—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Distribution of motion pictures in	41
Norway—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
	20–21
Oil production (see Crude Petroleum) Orongo Bivon Colony. Conodo's toniff amongoments with	20
Orange River Colony—Canada's tariff arrangements with	30
Pan-Pacific Commercial Conference	
Payme-Aldrich Tariff 1909	
Persia—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29
Petroleum, crude— Production in Western Ontonio	50
Production in Western Ontario	
Supervision of	53
Portuguese Treaty	34
rotatoes—Canadian, going into the United States	
Publicity	
Reciprocal Tariff of Canada 1897	28
Reciprocity—Canadian offer to the United Kingdom	
Reports, special—Commercial Intelligence Service	
Revenue, departmental	
Revenue and Expenditure—Weights and Measures Service	50
Russia—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Sales Office—Shanghai	38
Salvador—Canada's tariff arrangements with	28
Samoa—Canada's tariff arrangements with	35
Shanghai Sales Office	38
South America—Distribution of motion pictures in	42
South Africa, British—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29
Distribution of motion pictures in	42
Southern Rhodesia—Canada's tariff arrangements with	30
Spain—Canada's tariff arrangements with	29

	PAGE
Spanish Treaty	. 36
Spanish Treaty Commercial Intelligence Service	. 22
Special Reports—Commercial Intelligence Service	10
	. 19
Statistics—Dominion Bureau of	
Statistics—Summary of general manufactures	. 19
Still Photographic Division—Motion Picture Bureau	. 43
Straits Settlements-Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Distribution of motion pictures in	
Surtax on German goods	. 30
Sweden—Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 28-29
Switzerland—Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Distribution of motion pictures in	41
Tariff—	
British Preferential adopted by Canada	. 29
Canada's arrangements with other countries	
Canada's reciprocal 1897	
Changes within the British Empire	
German general	
Of Canada, 1907	. 31
Revision in various parts of the world	. 26
United States—New	
Tariff developments summarized	
Tariff legislation—British and Foreign	. 24-38
Tonga—Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 29
Tours—Official, of Trade Commissioners.	. 22
Tours—Official, of Trade Commissioners.	20-21
Trade Agreement between Canada and West Indies	
Trade Commissioners	
Assistant Trade Commissioners	20
Junior Trade Commissioners	
Official tours of	20-22
Trade Convention—Franco-Canadian 1907.	
Trade, export—Extension courses in	
Trade of Canada—	. 22
By main groups	11
By principal countries	14
Extension of exports	. 12
Foreign—1922–23	. 9
With United Kingdom	
Trade of principal countries of the world, comparison of	
Trade relations of Canada as affected by the war	
Transportation Branch—Bureau of Statistics	
Transvaal—Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 30
Treaty-	0.4
Anglo-Portuguese	. 34
Anglo-Spanish	. 36
Belgian	. 27
French	7-31-35
German	. 27
Of peace with Germany	. 34
Tunis—Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 29
United Kingdom—	
Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 27–35
Distribution of motion pictures in	
Trade with Canada	. 5-12
United States—	
Canada's tariff arrangements with	
Distribution of motion pictures in	. 41
Entry into of Canadian potatoes	
Entry into of Canadian wheat and flour	. 34
Tariff (new)	. 25
Trade with Canada	. 6-13
Venezuela—Canada's tariff arrangements with	. 29
War-Canada's trade relations as affected by	. 33
Weights and Measures Service	. 49
West Indies-Preference	. 33
West Indies Trade Agreement with Canada	
Wheat, Canadian—Entry into the United States	
Wheat handled at Government elevators	
Western Ontario-Production of Crude petroleum	. 53
Western Ontario-Special tour through-Commercial Intelligence Service	. 22
France of the Control	

mile colonia - programme manufactura me CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF T



